arXiv:0906.3733v1 [math.AG] 19 Jun 2009

# The group of automorphisms of the algebra of one-sided inverses of a polynomial algebra, II

V. V. Bavula

### Abstract

The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ of one-sided inverses of a polynomial algebra $P_n$ in $n$ variables is obtained from $P_n$ by adding commuting, *left* (but not two-sided) inverses of the canonical generators of the algebra $P_n$. Ignoring non-Noetherian property, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ belongs to a family of algebras like the $n$th Weyl algebra $A_n$ and the polynomial algebra $P_{2n}$. Explicit generators are found for the group $G_n$ of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ and for the group $\mathbb{S}_n^*$ of units of $\mathbb{S}_n$ (both groups are huge). An analog of the *Jacobian* homomorphism $\mathcal{P}_n := \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(P_n) \to K^*$ is introduced for the group $G_n$ (notice that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is noncommutative and neither left nor right Noetherian). The polynomial Jacobian homomorphism is *unique* since $\mathcal{P}_n/[\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n] \simeq K^*$. Its analogue is also unique for $n > 2$ but for $n = 1, 2$ there are exactly two of them. The proof is based on the following theorem:

$$G_n/[G_n, G_n] \simeq \begin{cases} K^* \times K^* & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times K^* \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times K^* & \text{if } n > 2. \end{cases}$$

*Key Words: the group of automorphisms, group generators, the inner automorphisms, the Fredholm operators, the index of an operator, algebraic group, the semi-direct and the exact products of groups, the minimal primes.*

*Mathematics subject classification 2000: 16W20, 14E07, 14H37, 14R10, 14R15.*

## 1 Introduction

Throughout, ring means an associative ring with 1; module means a left module; $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, \ldots\}$ is the set of natural numbers; $K$ is a field of characteristic zero and $K^*$ is its group of units; $P_n := K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a polynomial algebra over $K$; $\partial_1 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \partial_n := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ are the partial derivatives ($K$-linear derivations) of $P_n$; $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ is the algebra of all $K$-linear maps from $P_n$ to $P_n$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$ is its group of units (i.e. the group of all the invertible linear maps from $P_n$ to $P_n$); the subalgebra $A_n := K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, \partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n\rangle$ of $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ is called the $n$'th *Weyl* algebra.

*Definition*, [4]. The *algebra* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *of one-sided inverses* of $P_n$ is an algebra generated over a field $K$ of characteristic zero by $2n$ elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n, \ldots, y_n$ that satisfy the defining relations:

$$y_1x_1 = 1, \ldots, y_nx_n = 1, \ \ [x_i, y_j] = [x_i, x_j] = [y_i, y_j] = 0 \ \text{ for all } i \neq j,$$

where $[a, b] := ab - ba$ is the algebra commutator of elements $a$ and $b$.

By the very definition, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is obtained from the polynomial algebra $P_n$ by adding commuting, left (but not two-sided) inverses of its canonical generators. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ is a well-known primitive algebra [9], p. 35, Example 2. Over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers, the completion of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ is the *Toeplitz algebra* which is the $\mathbb{C}^*$-algebra generated by a unilateral shift on the Hilbert space $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ (note that $y_1 = x_1^*$). The Toeplitz algebra is the universal $\mathbb{C}^*$-algebra generated by a proper isometry.

*Example*, [4]. Consider a vector space $V=\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}}Ke_i$ and two shift operators on $V$, $X:e_i\mapsto e_{i+1}$ and $Y:e_i\mapsto e_{i-1}$ for all $i\geq 0$ where $e_{-1}:=0$. The subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_K(V)$ generated by the operators $X$ and $Y$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ ($X\mapsto x$, $Y\mapsto y$). By taking the $n$'th tensor power $V^{\otimes n}=\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}Ke_\alpha$ of $V$ we see that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n\simeq\mathbb{S}_1^{\otimes n}$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_K(V^{\otimes n})$ generated by the $2n$ shifts $X_1,Y_1,\ldots,X_n,Y_n$ that act in different directions.

The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is a noncommutative, non-Noetherian algebra which is not a domain either. Moreover, it contains the algebra of infinite dimensional matrices. The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension and the classical Krull dimension of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is $2n$, but the global dimension and the weak homological dimension of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is $n$, [4].

**Explicit generators for the group $G_n$.** Let $G_n:=\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ be the group of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$, and $\mathbb{S}_n^*$ be the group of units of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. The groups $G_n$ and $\mathbb{S}_n^*$ are huge, eg both of them contain the group $\underbrace{\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)\ltimes\cdots\ltimes\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)}_{2^n-1\text{ times}}$ which is a small part of them. A semi-direct product ${}^{semi}\prod_{i=1}^m H_i=H_1\ltimes H_2\ltimes\cdots\ltimes H_m$ of several groups means that $H_1\ltimes(H_2\ltimes(\cdots\ltimes(H_{m-1}\ltimes H_m)\cdots))$.

**Theorem 1.1** *1. [5] $G_n=S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n\ltimes\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$.*

*2. [5] $G_1\simeq\mathbb{T}^1\ltimes\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$.*

In the theorem above, $S_n=\{s\in S_n\,|\,s(x_i)=x_{s(i)},s(y_i)=y_{s(i)}\}$ is the symmetric group, $\mathbb{T}^n:=\{t_\lambda\,|\,t_\lambda(x_i)=\lambda_ix_i,t_\lambda(y_i)=\lambda_i^{-1}y_i,\lambda=(\lambda_i)\in K^{*n}\}$ is the $n$-dimensional torus, $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ is the group of inner automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ (which is huge), and $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$ is the group of all the invertible infinite dimensional matrices of the type $1+M_\infty(K)$ where the algebra (without 1) of infinite dimensional matrices $M_\infty(K):=\varinjlim M_d(K)=\bigcup_{d\geq 1}M_d(K)$ is the injective limit of matrix algebras. Theorem 1.1 is a difficult one (see the Introduction of [5] where the structure and the main ideas of the proof are explained).

The results of the papers [2, 4, 5, 6, 7] and of the present paper show that (when ignoring non-Noetherian property) the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ belongs to a family of algebras like the $n$'th Weyl algebra $A_n$, the polynomial algebra $P_{2n}$, and the Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ (see [2, 6]). Moreover, the algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$, $\mathbb{A}_n$, and $A_n$ are *generalized Weyl algebras.* The structure of the group $G_1\simeq\mathbb{T}^1\ltimes\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$ is another confirmation of 'similarity' of the algebras $P_2$, $A_1$, and $\mathbb{S}_1$. The groups of automorphisms of the polynomial algebra $P_2$ and the Weyl algebra $A_1$ were found by Jung [12], Van der Kulk [13], and Dixmier [8] respectively. These two groups have almost identical structure, they are 'infinite $GL$-groups' in the sense that they are generated by the torus $\mathbb{T}^1$ and by the obvious automorphisms: $x\mapsto x+\lambda y^i$, $y\mapsto y$; $x\mapsto x$, $y\mapsto y+\lambda x^i$, where $i\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda\in K$; which are sort of 'elementary infinite dimensional matrices' (i.e. 'infinite dimensional transvections'). The same picture as for the group $G_1$. In prime characteristic, the group of automorphism of the Weyl algebra $A_1$ was found by Makar-Limanov [10] (see also Bavula [3] for a different approach and for further developments).

A next step in finding explicitly the group $G_n$ and its generators is done in [7] where explicit generators are found for the group $G_2$ and it is proved that

- (Theorem 2.12, [7]) $G_2\simeq S_2\ltimes\mathbb{T}^2\ltimes\mathbb{Z}\ltimes((K^*\ltimes E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_1))\boxtimes_{\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)}(K^*\ltimes E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_1)))$ *where $E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_1)$ is the subgroup of* $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{S}_1)$ *generated by the elementary matrices.*

The aim of the present paper is to find explicitly the group $G_n$ (Theorem 4.2) and its generators for $n\geq 2$.

- (Theorem 4.6) *Let $J_s:=\{1,\ldots,s\}$ where $s=1,\ldots,n$. The group $G_n=S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n\ltimes\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ is generated by the transpositions $(ij)$ where $i<j$; the elements $t_{(\lambda,1,\ldots,1)}:x_1\mapsto\lambda x_1$, $y_1\mapsto\lambda^{-1}y_1$, $x_k\mapsto x_k$, $y_k\mapsto y_k$, $k=2,\ldots,n$; and the inner automorphisms $\omega_u$ where $u$ belongs to the following sets:*

1. $\theta_{s,1}(J_s) := (1+(y_s-1)\prod_{i=1}^{s-1}(1-x_iy_i))\cdot(1+(x_1-1)\prod_{j=2}^{s}(1-x_jy_j))$, $s=2,\ldots,n$;
2. $1+x_n^tE_{0\alpha}(J_s)$, $1+x_n^tE_{\alpha 0}(J_s)$, $1+y_n^tE_{0\alpha}(J_s)$, *and* $1+y_n^tE_{\alpha 0}(J_s)$ *where* $t\in\mathbb{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $s=1,\ldots,n-1$, *and* $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^s\backslash\{0\}$*; and*
3. $1+(\lambda-1)E_{00}(J_s)$, $1+E_{0\alpha}(J_s)$, *and* $1+E_{\alpha 0}(J_s)$ *where* $\lambda\in K^*$, $s=1,\ldots,n$, *and* $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^s\backslash\{0\}$.

*where* $E_{00}(J_s) := \prod_{i=1}^{s}(1-x_iy_i)$, $E_{0\alpha}(J_s) := \prod_{i=1}^{s}(y_i^{\alpha_i}-x_iy_i^{\alpha_i+1})$, *and* $E_{\alpha 0}(J_s) := \prod_{i=1}^{s}(x_i^{\alpha_i}-x_i^{\alpha_i+1}y_i)$.

**The structure and main ideas of finding the generators for the groups $G_n$ and $\mathbb{S}_n^*$.** A first step is the following theorem which is a consequence of an analogous result for the Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ (Theorem 4.4, [2]) modulo a discrete subgroup. Then the theorem follows from the inclusion $\mathbb{S}^*\subseteq\mathbb{A}_n^*$.

**Theorem 1.2** *[6]*

1. $\mathbb{S}_n^* = K^*\times(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ *where the ideal* $\mathfrak{a}_n$ *of the algebra* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *is the sum of all the height one prime ideals of the algebra* $\mathbb{S}_n$.
2. *The centre of the group* $\mathbb{S}_n^*$ *is* $K^*$, *and the centre of the group* $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ *is* $\{1\}$.
3. *The map* $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*\to\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$, $u\mapsto\omega_u$, *is a group isomorphism.*

This theorem reduces the problem of finding the group $G_n$ to the problem of finding the group of units $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$. To save on notation, often we identify the groups $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ and $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ via $u\mapsto\omega_u$.

The polynomial algebra $P_n$ is a faithful $\mathbb{S}_n$-module (see Example above), hence $\mathbb{S}_n\subset\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$. The ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ commute ($IJ=JI$), [4]. There are precisely $n$ height one prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$, say $\mathfrak{p}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{p}_n$. They are found explicitly in [4], and they form a single $G_n$-orbit. In particular, the ideals $\mathfrak{a}_{n,s} := \sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_s}\mathfrak{p}_{i_1}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_{i_s}$, $s=1,\ldots,n$, are $G_n$-invariant ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. The group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ has the strictly descending chain of $G_n$-invariant (hence normal) subgroups

$$(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^* = (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,1})^*\supset\cdots\supset(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*\supset\cdots\supset(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*\supset(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n})^*.$$

Briefly, to prove results on the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ we first prove similar results for the subgroups $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$, $s=1,\ldots,n-1$, using a double induction on $(n,s)$ starting with $(n,n-1)$ in the second part of the induction (the induction on $s$ is a downward induction, the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n})^*$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$ and contains no essential information about the overgroups, that is why we have to start with $(n,n-1)$). The initial case $(n,n-1)$ is the most difficult one, we spend entire Section 3 to treat it.

Difficulty in finding the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ stems from two facts: (i) $\mathbb{S}_n^*\subsetneqq\mathbb{S}_n\cap\mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$, i.e. there are non-units of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ that are invertible linear maps in $P_n$; and (ii) some units of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ are product of *non-units.* To tackle the second problem the so-called *current groups* $\Theta_{n,s}$, $s=1,\ldots,n-1$, are introduced. These are finitely generated subgroups of $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ generated by explicit generators, and each of the generators is a product of two non-units of $\mathbb{S}_n^*$ (they are even non-units of $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$). The current groups turn out to be the most important subgroups of $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$, they control the most difficult parts of the structure of the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$.

In dealing with the case $(n,n-1)$, we use the Fredholm linear maps/operators and their indices. This technique is not available in other cases, i.e. when $(n,s)\neq(n,n-1)$, but the point is that other cases can be reduced to the initial one but over a larger coefficient *ring* (not a field). The indices of operators are used to construct several group homomorphisms. The most difficult part of Section 3 is to prove that the homomorphisms are well defined maps (as their constructions are based on highly non-unique decompositions). As a result the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ is found explicitly.

- (Theorem 4.2) $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^* = \Theta'_{n,1}\mathbb{E}_{n,1}\Theta'_{n,2}\mathbb{E}_{n,2}\cdots\Theta'_{n,n-1}\mathbb{E}_{n,n-1}$, where the sets $\Theta'_{n,s} \subseteq \Theta_{n,s}$ and the groups $\mathbb{E}_{n,s}$ are given explicitly, (25) and (37). As a consequence we have explicit generators for the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$.

- (Theorem 4.5) *The group* $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ *is generated by the following elements:*
  1. $\theta_{\max(J),j}(J) := (1+(y_{\max(J)}-1)\prod_{i\in J\backslash \max(J)}(1-x_iy_i))\cdot(1+(x_j-1)\prod_{k\in J\backslash j}(1-x_ky_k))$ *where* $J$ *runs through all the subsets of* $\{1,\dots,n\}$ *that contain at least two elements,* $j\in J\backslash\max(J)$*;*
  2. $1+x_i^tE_{0\alpha}(I)$, $1+x_i^tE_{\alpha 0}(I)$, $1+y_i^tE_{0\alpha}(I)$, *and* $1+y_i^tE_{\alpha 0}(I)$ *where* $I$ *runs through all the subsets of* $\{1,\dots,n\}$ *such that* $|I|=1,\dots,n-1$, $t\in\mathbb{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $i\not\in I$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^I\backslash\{0\}$*; and*
  3. $1+(\lambda-1)E_{00}(I)$, $1+E_{0\alpha}(I)$, *and* $1+E_{\alpha 0}(I)$ *where* $\lambda\in K^*$, $I\neq\emptyset$, *and* $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^I\backslash\{0\}$.

Then it is easy to obtain explicit generators for the group $G_n$ (Theorem 4.6).

**An analogue of the polynomial Jacobian homomorphism for the group** $G_n$. For the polynomial algebra $P_n$ there is an important group homomorphism,

$$\mathcal{J}_n : \mathcal{P}_n := \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(P_n) \to K^*, \;\; \sigma\mapsto \det(\frac{\partial\sigma(x_i)}{\partial x_j}), \tag{1}$$

the so-called *Jacobian* homomorphism. Note that the Jacobian homomorphism is a determinant. Each automorphism $\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_n$ is a unique product $\sigma_{aff}\xi$ of an affine automorphism $\sigma_{aff}\in\mathrm{Aff}_n$ and an element $\xi$ of the *Jacobian* group $\Sigma_n$ (see Section 5 for details), and the Jacobian of $\sigma$ is uniquely determined by its affine part, i.e. $\mathcal{J}(\sigma)=\mathcal{J}(\sigma_{aff})$. This property *uniquely* characterizes the Jacobian homomorphism since

$$\mathcal{P}_n/[\mathcal{P}_n,\mathcal{P}_n]\simeq \mathrm{Aff}_n/\mathrm{Aff}_n\cap[\mathcal{P}_n,\mathcal{P}_n]\simeq K^*.$$

So, there are two different ways of defining the Jacobian homomorphism: by the explicit formula (1) or as a group homomorphism from $\mathcal{P}_n/[\mathcal{P}_n,\mathcal{P}_n]$ to $K^*$ that is defined naturally (i.e. as the determinant) on the affine subgroup $\mathrm{Aff}_n$ of $\mathcal{P}_n$.

The group $G_n=S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n\ltimes\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ has a similar structure as the group $\mathcal{P}_n$ where $\mathrm{aff}_n := S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n$ is an affine part of $G_n$ and the group $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ of inner automorphisms plays a role of the Jacobian group. In Section 5, we introduce an analogue $\mathbb{J}_n : G_n\to K^*$ of the Jacobian homomorphism using the second definition of the polynomial Jacobian map as a guiding principle: the map $\mathbb{J}_n$ is a homomorphism $\mathbb{J}_n : G_n/[G_n,G_n]\to K^*$ such that on the affine group $\mathrm{aff}_n$ it is defined exactly in the same way as in the polynomial case. For $n>2$, the homomorphism $\mathbb{J}_n$ is *unique* (Theorem 5.6) by the same reason as in the polynomial case, namely, (Corollary 5.5.(3))

$$G_n/[G_n,G_n]\simeq \mathrm{aff}_n/[\mathrm{aff}_n,\mathrm{aff}_n]\simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\times K^*.$$

But for $n=1,2$, the homomorphism $\mathbb{J}_n$ is not unique, there are exactly two of them since (Corollary 5.5.(3))

$$G_n/[G_n,G_n]\simeq \mathrm{aff}_n/[\mathrm{aff}_n,\mathrm{aff}_n]\times\begin{cases} K^* & \text{if } n=1,\\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n=2.\end{cases}$$

More informally, for $n=1$ the appearance of the second, exotic $\mathbb{J}_1^{ex}$ has connection with existence of the determinant (homomorphism) on the group $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$, but for $n=2$ the exotic $\mathbb{J}_2^{ex}$ is explained by the fact that the current group $\Theta_2$ does not belong to the commutant $[G_2,G_2]$. For $n=1$, $\mathbb{J}_1$ and $\mathbb{J}_1^{ex}$ are algebraically independent characters of the group $G_1$, but, for $n=2$, $\mathbb{J}_2^2=(\mathbb{J}_2^{ex})^2$ (Theorem 5.6).

The proofs are based on finding explicitly the commutant $[G_n,G_n]$ of the group $G_n$ (Theorem 5.4.(1)) and proving that

- (Theorem 5.4.(2))

$$G_n/[G_n,G_n]\simeq\begin{cases}K^*\times K^* & \text{if } n=1,\\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\times K^*\times\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n=2,\\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\times K^* & \text{if } n>2.\end{cases}$$

The most surprising thing is that despite the fact that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is noncommutative, non-Noetherian, of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $2n$ (not $n$), not a domain, the unique homomorphism $\mathbb{J}_n$ 'coincides' with the polynomial Jacobian homomorphism $\mathcal{J}_n$ for the polynomial algebra $P_n$ (not $P_{2n}$): for $\sigma\in G_n$,

$$\mathbb{J}_n(\sigma)=\det(\frac{\partial\overline{\sigma}(x_i)}{\partial x_j}),\tag{2}$$

i.e. the homomorphism $\mathbb{J}_n$ is the composition of two homomorphisms:

$$G_n\to \mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n\simeq L_n),\;\;\sigma\mapsto\overline{\sigma}:a+\mathfrak{a}_n\mapsto\sigma(a)+\mathfrak{a}_n,$$

where $L_n:=K[x_1,x_1^{-1},\dots,x_n,x_n^{-1}]$ is the Laurent polynomial algebra (see (10)) and

$$\mathcal{J}_n:\mathrm{Aut}_{K-\mathrm{alg}}(L_n)\to L_n^*,\;\;\tau\mapsto\det(\frac{\partial\tau(x_i)}{\partial x_j}).$$

**Proof of (2).** Since $G_n=\mathrm{aff}_n\ltimes\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ and the factor algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n\simeq L_n$ is *commutative*, the homomorphism (2) acts trivially on $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ (i.e. $\mathbb{J}_n(\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n))=1$), but on $\mathrm{aff}_n$ the map (2) acts exactly as in the polynomial case: for each element $s\cdot t_\lambda\in\mathrm{aff}_n$ where $s\in S_n$ and $t_\lambda\in\mathbb{T}^n$, $\mathbb{J}_n(s\cdot t_\lambda)=\mathrm{sign}(s)\cdot\prod_{i=1}^n\lambda_i$ where $\mathrm{sign}(s)\in\{\pm1\}$ is the sign/parity of the permutation $s$. $\square$

So, for each element $\sigma=s\cdot t_\lambda\cdot\omega_u\in G_n=S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n\ltimes\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ where $s\in S_n$, $t_\lambda\in\mathbb{T}^n$, and $\omega_u\in\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$,

$$\mathbb{J}_n(\sigma)=\mathrm{sign}(s)\cdot\prod_{i=1}^n\lambda_i.\tag{3}$$

One may have noticed that $\mathbb{J}_n(\sigma)$ depends only on $\sigma(x_1),\dots,\sigma(x_n)$ and the set $\{x_1,\dots,x_n\}$ is not a generating set for the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. It is a trivial observation that an algebra endomorphism is uniquely determined by its action on a set of algebra generators but, for the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$, an algebra endomorphism is uniquely determined by its action on either of the sets $\{x_1,\dots,x_n\}$ or $\{y_1,\dots,y_n\}$ (which are not algebra generating sets).

**Theorem 1.3** (Rigidity of the group $G_n$. Theorem 3.7, [5]) *Let $\sigma,\tau\in G_n$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1. $\sigma=\tau$.
2. $\sigma(x_1)=\tau(x_1),\dots,\sigma(x_n)=\tau(x_n)$.
3. $\sigma(y_1)=\tau(y_1),\dots,\sigma(y_n)=\tau(y_n)$.

## 2 The group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ and its subgroups

In this section, we collect some results without proofs on the algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ from [4] that will be used in this paper, their proofs can be found in [4]. Several important subgroups of the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ are introduced. The most interesting of these are the current subgroups $\Theta_{n,s}$, $s=1,\dots,n-1$. They encapsulate the most difficult parts of the groups $\mathbb{S}_n^*$ and $G_n$. This section sets a scene for proving the main results of the paper.

**The algebra of one-sided inverses of a polynomial algebra**. Clearly, $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{S}_1(1)\otimes\cdots\otimes \mathbb{S}_1(n)\simeq \mathbb{S}_1^{\otimes n}$ where $\mathbb{S}_1(i):=K\langle x_i, y_i\,|\,y_ix_i=1\rangle\simeq\mathbb{S}_1$ and

$$\mathbb{S}_n=\bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n}Kx^\alpha y^\beta$$

where $x^\alpha:=x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)$, $y^\beta:=y_1^{\beta_1}\cdots y_n^{\beta_n}$, $\beta=(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_n)$. In particular, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ contains two polynomial subalgebras $P_n$ and $Q_n:=K[y_1,\ldots,y_n]$ and is equal, as a vector space, to their tensor product $P_n\otimes Q_n$. Note that also the Weyl algebra $A_n$ is a tensor product (as a vector space) $P_n\otimes K[\partial_1,\ldots,\partial_n]$ of its two polynomial subalgebras.

When $n=1$, we usually drop the subscript '1' if this does not lead to confusion. So, $\mathbb{S}_1=K\langle x,y\,|\,yx=1\rangle=\bigoplus_{i,j\geq 0}Kx^iy^j$. For each natural number $d\geq 1$, let $M_d(K):=\bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{d-1}KE_{ij}$ be the algebra of $d$-dimensional matrices where $\{E_{ij}\}$ are the matrix units, and

$$M_\infty(K):=\varinjlim M_d(K)=\bigoplus_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}KE_{ij}$$

be the algebra (without 1) of infinite dimensional matrices. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ contains the ideal $F:=\bigoplus_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}KE_{ij}$, where

$$E_{ij}:=x^iy^j-x^{i+1}y^{j+1},\;\; i,j\geq 0. \tag{4}$$

For all natural numbers $i$, $j$, $k$, and $l$, $E_{ij}E_{kl}=\delta_{jk}E_{il}$ where $\delta_{jk}$ is the Kronecker delta function. The ideal $F$ is an algebra (without 1) isomorphic to the algebra $M_\infty(K)$ via $E_{ij}\mapsto E_{ij}$. For all $i,j\geq 0$,

$$xE_{ij}=E_{i+1,j},\;\; yE_{ij}=E_{i-1,j}\;\;\;(E_{-1,j}:=0), \tag{5}$$

$$E_{ij}x=E_{i,j-1},\;\; E_{ij}y=E_{i,j+1}\;\;\;(E_{i,-1}:=0). \tag{6}$$

The algebra

$$\mathbb{S}_1=K\oplus xK[x]\oplus yK[y]\oplus F \tag{7}$$

is the direct sum of vector spaces. Then

$$\mathbb{S}_1/F\simeq K[x,x^{-1}]=:L_1,\;\; x\mapsto x,\;\; y\mapsto x^{-1}, \tag{8}$$

since $yx=1$, $xy=1-E_{00}$ and $E_{00}\in F$.

The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n=\bigotimes_{i=1}^n\mathbb{S}_1(i)$ contains the ideal

$$F_n:=F^{\otimes n}=\bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n}KE_{\alpha\beta},\;\;\text{where}\;\; E_{\alpha\beta}:=\prod_{i=1}^nE_{\alpha_i\beta_i}(i),\;\; E_{\alpha_i\beta_i}(i):=x_i^{\alpha_i}y_i^{\beta_i}-x_i^{\alpha_i+1}y_i^{\beta_i+1}.$$

Note that $E_{\alpha\beta}E_{\gamma\rho}=\delta_{\beta\gamma}E_{\alpha\rho}$ for all elements $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\rho\in\mathbb{N}^n$ where $\delta_{\beta\gamma}$ is the Kronecker delta function.

**The involution $\eta$ on $\mathbb{S}_n$**. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ admits the *involution*

$$\eta:\mathbb{S}_n\rightarrow\mathbb{S}_n,\;\; x_i\mapsto y_i,\;\; y_i\mapsto x_i,\;\; i=1,\ldots,n,$$

i.e. it is a $K$-algebra anti-isomorphism ($\eta(ab)=\eta(b)\eta(a)$ for all $a,b\in\mathbb{S}_n$) such that $\eta^2=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}_n}$, the identity map on $\mathbb{S}_n$. So, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is *self-dual* (i.e. it is isomorphic to its opposite algebra, $\eta:\mathbb{S}_n\simeq\mathbb{S}_n^{op}$). The involution $\eta$ acts on the 'matrix' ring $F_n$ as the transposition,

$$\eta(E_{\alpha\beta})=E_{\beta\alpha}. \tag{9}$$

The canonical generators $x_i$, $y_j$ ($1\leq i,j\leq n$) determine the ascending filtration $\{\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ on the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ in the obvious way (i.e. by the total degree of the generators): $\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}:=\bigoplus_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq i}Kx^\alpha y^\beta$ where $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n$ ($\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq j}\subseteq\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i+j}$ for all $i,j\geq 0$). Then $\dim(\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i})=\binom{i+2n}{2n}$ for $i\geq 0$, and so the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathbb{S}_n)$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is equal to $2n$. It is not difficult to show that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is neither left nor right Noetherian. Moreover, it contains infinite direct sums of left and right ideals (see [4]).

- *The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is central, prime, and catenary. Every nonzero ideal of $\mathbb{S}_n$ is an essential left and right submodule of $\mathbb{S}_n$.*
- *The ideals of $\mathbb{S}_n$ commute ($IJ = JI$); and the set of ideals of $\mathbb{S}_n$ satisfy the a.c.c..*
- *The classical Krull dimension* $\mathrm{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ *of $\mathbb{S}_n$ is $2n$.*
- *Let $I$ be an ideal of $\mathbb{S}_n$. Then the factor algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/I$ is left (or right) Noetherian iff the ideal $I$ contains all the height one primes of $\mathbb{S}_n$.*

**The set of height 1 primes of** $\mathbb{S}_n$. Consider the ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$:

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 := F \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1},\ \mathfrak{p}_2 := \mathbb{S}_1 \otimes F \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-2}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n := \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes F.$$

Then $\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{p}_i \simeq \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes (\mathbb{S}_1/F) \simeq \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K[x_i, x_i^{-1}]$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i = F^{\otimes n}$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$ for all $i \neq j$.

- *The set $\mathcal{H}_1$ of height one prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$.*

Let $\mathfrak{a}_n := \mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_n$. Then the factor algebra

$$\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n \simeq (\mathbb{S}_1/F)^{\otimes n} \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^n K[x_i, x_i^{-1}] = K[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_n, x_n^{-1}] =: L_n \tag{10}$$

is a skew Laurent polynomial algebra in $n$ variables, and so $\mathfrak{a}_n$ is a prime ideal of height and co-height $n$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$.

**Proposition 2.1** *[4] The polynomial algebra $P_n$ is the only faithful, simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-module.*

In more detail, ${}_{\mathbb{S}_n}P_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_n/(\sum_{i=0}^n \mathbb{S}_n y_i) = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} K x^\alpha \overline{1}$, $\overline{1} := 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{S}_n y_i$; and the action of the canonical generators of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ on the polynomial algebra $P_n$ is given by the rule:

$$x_i * x^\alpha = x^{\alpha+e_i},\ \ y_i * x^\alpha = \begin{cases} x^{\alpha-e_i} & \text{if } \alpha_i > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha_i = 0, \end{cases} \ \text{ and } E_{\beta\gamma} * x^\alpha = \delta_{\gamma\alpha} x^\beta,$$

where $e_1 := (1, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, e_n := (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ is the canonical basis for the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}e_i$. We identify the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ with its image in the algebra $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ of all the $K$-linear maps from the vector space $P_n$ to itself, i.e. $\mathbb{S}_n \subset \mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$.

For each non-empty subset $I$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $\mathbb{S}_I := \bigotimes_{i\in I} \mathbb{S}_1(i) \simeq \mathbb{S}_{|I|}$ where $|I|$ is the number of elements in the set $I$, $F_I := \bigotimes_{i\in I} F(i) \simeq M_\infty(K)$, $\mathfrak{a}_I$ be the ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_I$ generated by the vector space $\bigoplus_{i\in I} F(i)$, i.e. $\mathfrak{a}_I := \sum_{i\in I} F(i) \otimes \mathbb{S}_{I\backslash i}$. The factor algebra $L_I := \mathbb{S}_I/\mathfrak{a}_I \simeq K[x_i, x_i^{-1}]_{i\in I}$ is a Laurent polynomial algebra. For elements $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i\in I}, \beta = (\beta_i)_{i\in I} \in \mathbb{N}^I$, let $E_{\alpha\beta} := \prod_{i\in I} E_{\alpha_i\beta_i}(I)$. Then $E_{\alpha\beta}E_{\xi\rho} = \delta_{\beta\xi}E_{\alpha\rho}(I)$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \xi, \rho \in \mathbb{N}^I$.

**The $G_n$-invariant normal subgroups $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$ of $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$.** We will use often the following two obvious lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2** *[5] Let $R$ be a ring and $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ be ideals of the ring $R$ such that $I_iI_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Let $a = 1 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n \in R$ where $a_1 \in I_1, \ldots, a_n \in I_n$. The element $a$ is a unit of the ring $R$ iff all the elements $1 + a_i$ are units; and, in this case, $a^{-1} = (1 + a_i)^{-1}(1 + a_2)^{-1} \cdots (1 + a_n)^{-1}$.*

Let $R$ be a ring, $R^*$ be its group of units, $I$ be an ideal of $R$ such that $I \neq R$, and let $(1 + I)^*$ be the group of units of the multiplicative monoid $1 + I$.

**Lemma 2.3** *[5] Let $R$ and $I$ be as above. Then*

1. $R^* \cap (1 + I) = (1 + I)^*$.

*2.* $(1+I)^*$ *is a normal subgroup of* $R^*$.

For each subset $I$ of the set $\{1,\dots,n\}$, let $\mathfrak{p}_I := \bigcap_{i\in I}\mathfrak{p}_i$, and $\mathfrak{p}_\emptyset := \mathbb{S}_n$. Each $\mathfrak{p}_I$ is an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ and $\mathfrak{p}_I = \prod_{i\in I}\mathfrak{p}_i$. The complement to the subset $I$ is denoted by $CI$. For an one-element subset $\{i\}$, we write $Ci$ rather than $C\{i\}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{p}_{Ci} := \mathfrak{p}_{C\{i\}} = \bigcap_{j\neq i}\mathfrak{p}_j$.

For each number $s=1,\dots,n$, let $\mathfrak{a}_{n,s} := \sum_{|I|=s}\mathfrak{p}_I$. By the very definition, the ideals $\mathfrak{a}_{n,s}$ are $G_n$-invariant ideals (since the set $\mathcal{H}_1$ of all the height one prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is $\{\mathfrak{p}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{p}_n\}$, [5], and $\mathcal{H}_1$ is a $G_n$-orbit). We have the strictly descending chain of $G_n$-invariant ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$:

$$\mathfrak{a}_n = \mathfrak{a}_{n,1} \supset \mathfrak{a}_{n,2} \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{a}_{n,s} \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{a}_{n,n} = F_n \supset \mathfrak{a}_{n,n+1} := 0.$$

These are also ideals of the subalgebra $K+\mathfrak{a}_n$ of $\mathbb{S}_n$. Each set $\mathfrak{a}_{n,s}$ is an ideal of the algebra $K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,t}$ for all $t\leq s$, and the group of units of the algebra $K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s}$ is the direct product of its two subgroups (Lemma 2.3.(1)),

$$(K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* = K^*\times(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*, \;\; s=1,\dots,n.$$

The groups $(K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$ and $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$ are $G_n$-invariant. For each number $s=1,\dots,n$, the factor algebra

$$(K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})/\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1} = K\bigoplus\bigoplus_{|I|=s}\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I$$

contains the idempotent ideals $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I := (\mathfrak{p}_I+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1})/\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1}$ such that $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_J = 0$ for all $I\neq J$ such that $|I|=|J|=s$.

Recall that for a Laurent polynomial algebra $L=K[x,x^{-1}]$, $\mathrm{K}_1(L)\simeq L^*$, [14], [1], [11],

$$\mathrm{GL}_\infty(L) = U(L)\ltimes E_\infty(L) \tag{11}$$

where $E_\infty(L)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(L)$ generated by all the *elementary matrices* $\{1+aE_{ij}\,|\,a\in L, i,j\in\mathbb{N}, i\neq j\}$, and $U(L) := \{\mu(u) := uE_{00}+1-E_{00}\,|\,u\in L^*\}\simeq L^*$, $\mu(u)\leftrightarrow u$. The group $E_\infty(L)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(L)$, this is true for an arbitrary coefficient ring.

By Lemma 2.2 and (11), the group of units of the algebra $(K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})/\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1} =: K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s}/\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1}$ is the direct product of groups,

$$(K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s}/\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1})^* = K^*\times\prod_{|I|=s}(1+\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I)^* \simeq K^*\times\prod_{|I|=s}\mathrm{GL}_\infty(L_{CI}) \simeq K^*\times\prod_{|I|=s}U(L_{CI})\ltimes E_\infty(L_{CI})$$

since $(1+\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I)^*\simeq(1+M_\infty(L_{CI}))^* = \mathrm{GL}_\infty(L_{CI})$ where $L_{CI} := \mathbb{S}_{CI}/\mathfrak{a}_{CI} = \bigotimes_{i\in CI}K[x_i,x_i^{-1}]$ is the Laurent polynomial algebra. In more detail, for each non-empty subset $I$ of $\{1,\dots,n\}$, let $\mathbb{Z}^I := \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}e_i$, it is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n\mathbb{Z}e_i$. Similarly, $\mathbb{N}^I := \bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{N}e_i$. By (11),

$$(1+\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I)^* = U(L_{CI})\ltimes E_\infty(L_{CI}) = (U_I(K)\times\mathbb{X}_{CI})\ltimes E_\infty(L_{CI}) \tag{12}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
U(L_{CI}) & := & \{\mu_I(u) := uE_{00}(I)+1-E_{00}(I)\,|\,u\in L^*_{CI}\}\simeq L^*_{CI},\ \mu_I(u)\leftrightarrow u,\\
L^*_{CI} & = & \{\lambda x^\alpha\,|\,\lambda\in K^*,\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^{CI}\},\\
U_I(K) & := & \{\mu_I(\lambda) := \lambda E_{00}(I)+1-E_{00}(I)\,|\,\lambda\in K^*\}\simeq K^*,\ \mu_I(\lambda)\leftrightarrow\lambda,\\
\mathbb{X}_{CI} & := & \{\mu_I(x^\alpha) := x^\alpha E_{00}(I)+1-E_{00}(I)\,|\,\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^{CI}\}\simeq\mathbb{Z}^{CI}\simeq\mathbb{Z}^{n-s},\ \mu_I(x^\alpha)\leftrightarrow\alpha,\\
E_\infty(L_{CI}) & := & \langle 1+aE_{\alpha\beta}(I)\,|\,a\in L_{CI},\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^I,\alpha\neq\beta\rangle.
\end{array}$$

The algebra epimorphism $\psi_{n,s}: K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s}\to(K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})/\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1}$, $a\mapsto a+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1}$, yields the group homomorphism of their groups of units $(K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*\to(K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s}/\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1})^*$ and the kernel of which is $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1})^*$. As a result we have the exact sequence of group homomorphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1})^* \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="] & (K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="] & (K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s}/\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1})^* \arrow[d, "="] \\
 & (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1})^* & K^* \times (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* & K^* \times \prod_{|I|=s}(1+\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I)^*
\end{tikzcd}$$

which yields the exact sequence of group homomorphisms:

$$1 \to (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1})^* \to (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* \overset{\psi_{n,s}}{\to} \prod_{|I|=s}(1+\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I)^* \simeq \prod_{|I|=s}\mathrm{GL}_\infty(L_{CI}) \to \mathcal{Z}_{n,s} \to 1. \tag{13}$$

Intuitively, the group $\mathcal{Z}_{n,s}$ represents 'relations' that determine the image $\mathrm{im}(\psi_{n,s})$ as the subgroup of $\prod_{|I|=s}(1+\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I)^*$. We will see later that the group $\mathcal{Z}_{n,s}$ is a free abelian group of rank $\binom{n}{s+1}$ (Corollary 4.3). So, the image of the map $\psi_{n,s}$ is large. Note that $\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_I$ (where $|I|=s$) are ideals of the algebra $K+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s}$. By Lemma 2.3, the groups $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1})^*$ and $(1+\mathfrak{p}_I)^*$ (where $|I|=s$) are normal subgroups of $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$. Then the subgroup $\Upsilon_{n,s}$ of $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$ generated by these normal subgroups is a normal subgroup of $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$. As a subset of $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$, the group $\Upsilon_{n,s}$ is equal to the product of the groups $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1})^*$, $(1+\mathfrak{p}_I)^*$, $|I|=s$, in *arbitrary* order (by their normality), i.e.

$$\Upsilon_{n,s} = \prod_{|I|=s}(1+\mathfrak{p}_I)^* \cdot (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1})^*. \tag{14}$$

By Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, the group $\Upsilon_{n,s}$ is a $G_n$-invariant (hence, normal) subgroup of $\mathbb{S}_n^*$. We will see that the factor group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*/\Upsilon_{n,s}$ is a free abelian group of rank $\binom{n}{s+1}s$, see (39).

By (12), the direct product of groups $\prod_{|I|=s}(1+\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I)^* = \mathbb{X}_{n,s} \ltimes \overline{\Gamma}_{n,s}$ is the semi-direct product of its two subgroups

$$\mathbb{X}_{n,s} := \prod_{|I|=s}\mathbb{X}_{CI} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{s}(n-s)} \text{ and } \overline{\Gamma}_{n,s} := \prod_{|I|=s} U_I(K) \ltimes E_\infty(L_{CI}). \tag{15}$$

For each subset $I$ of $\{1,\dots,n\}$ such that $|I|=s$, $U_I(K)\ltimes E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_{CI})$ is the subgroup of $(1+\mathfrak{p}_I)^*$ where

$$U_I(K) := \{\mu_I(\lambda)\,|\,\lambda\in K^*\} \simeq K^*,\ E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_{CI}) := \langle 1+aE_{\alpha\beta}(I)\,|\,a\in\mathbb{S}_{CI}, \alpha\neq\beta\in\mathbb{N}^I\rangle, \tag{16}$$

where $\mu_I(\lambda) := \lambda E_{00}(I)+1-E_{00}(I)$. Clearly,

$$\psi_{n,s}|_{U_I(K)} : U_I(K) \simeq U_I(K),\ \ \mu_I(\lambda)\mapsto\mu_I(\lambda),$$

and $\psi_{n,s}(U_I(K)\ltimes E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_{CI})) = U_I(K)\ltimes E_\infty(L_{CI})$ for all subsets $I$ with $|I|=s$. The subgroup of $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$,

$$\Gamma_{n,s} := \psi_{n,s}^{-1}(\overline{\Gamma}_{n,s}) = {}^{set}\prod_{|I|=s}(U(K)\ltimes E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_{CI}))\cdot(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s+1})^*, \tag{17}$$

is a normal subgroup as the pre-image of a normal subgroup. We added the upper script 'set' to indicate that this is a product of subgroups but not the direct product, in general. It is obvious that $\psi_{n,s}(\Gamma_{n,s}) = \overline{\Gamma}_{n,s}$ and $\Gamma_{n,s}\subseteq\Upsilon_{n,s}$. We will see that, in fact, $\Gamma_{n,s}=\Upsilon_{n,s}$ (Theorem 4.4). Let $\Delta_{n,s} := (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*/\Gamma_{n,s}$. The group homomorphism $\psi_{n,s}$ (see (13)) induces the group monomorphism

$$\overline{\psi}_{n,s} : \Delta_{n,s} \to \prod_{|I|=s}(1+\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I)^*)/\overline{\Gamma}_{n,s} \simeq \mathbb{X}_{n,s} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{s}(n-s)}.$$

This means that the group $\Delta_{n,s}$ is a free abelian group of rank $\leq\binom{n}{s}(n-s)$. In fact, the rank is equal to $\binom{n}{s+1}s$, see (38).

For each subset $I$ with $|I|=s$, consider a free abelian group $\mathbb{X}'_{CI}:=\bigoplus_{j\in CI}\mathbb{Z}(j,I)\simeq\mathbb{Z}^{n-s}$ where $\{(j,I)\,|\,j\in CI\}$ is its free basis. Let

$$\mathbb{X}'_{n,s}:=\bigoplus_{|I|=s}\mathbb{X}'_{CI}=\bigoplus_{|I|=s}\bigoplus_{j\in CI}\mathbb{Z}(j,I)\simeq\mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{s}(n-s)}.$$

For each subset $I$, consider the isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\mathbb{X}_{CI}\rightarrow\mathbb{X}'_{CI},\;\;\mu_I(x_j):=x_jE_{00}(I)+1-E_{00}(I)\mapsto(j,I).$$

These isomorphisms yield the group isomorphism

$$\mathbb{X}_{n,s}\rightarrow\mathbb{X}'_{n,s},\;\;\mu_I(x_j)\mapsto(j,I).\tag{18}$$

Each element $a$ of the group $\mathbb{X}_{n,s}$ is a unique product $a=\prod_{|I|=s}\prod_{j\in CI}\mu_I(x_j)^{n(j,I)}$ where $n(j,I)\in\mathbb{Z}$. Each element $a'$ of the group $\mathbb{X}'_{n,s}$ is a unique sum $a'=\sum_{|I|=s}\sum_{j\in CI}n(j,I)\cdot(j,I)$ where $n(j,I)\in\mathbb{Z}$. The map (18) sends $a$ to $a'$. To make computations more readable we set $e_I:=E_{00}(I)$. Then $e_Ie_J=e_{I\cup J}$.

**The current groups $\Theta_{n,s}$, $s=1,\dots,n-1$.** The current groups $\Theta_{n,s}$ are the most important subgroups of the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$. They are finitely generated groups and the generators are given explicitly. The adjective 'current' comes from the action of the generators on the monomial basis for the polynomial algebra $P_n$. When we visualize the algebra $P_n$ as a liquid and the monomials $\{x^\alpha\}$ are its atoms then the action of the generators of the group $\Theta_{n,s}$ on the monomials resembles a current, see (19). The generators shift the liquid only on the faces of the positive cone $\mathbb{N}^n\approx P_n$. The generators of the groups $\Theta_{n,s}$ are units of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ but they are defined as a product of two *non-units*. As a result the groups $\Theta_{n,s}$ capture the most delicate phenomena about the structure and the properties of the groups $\mathbb{S}_n^*$ and $G_n$.

For each non-empty subset $I$ of $\{1,\dots,n\}$ with $s:=|I|<n$ and an element $i\in CI$, let

$$X(i,I):=\mu_I(x_i)=x_iE_{00}(I)+1-E_{00}(I)\;\text{ and }\;Y(i,I):=\mu_I(y_i)=y_iE_{00}(I)+1-E_{00}(I).$$

Then $Y(i,I)X(i,I)=1$, $\ker Y(i,I)=P_{C(I\cup i)}$, and $P_n=\mathrm{im}\,X(i,I)\bigoplus P_{C(I\cup i)}$ where $P_{C(I\cup i)}:=K[x_j]_{j\in C(I\cup i)}$. As an element of the algebra $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$, the map $X(i,I)$ is injective (but not bijective), and the map $Y(i,I)$ is surjective (but not bijective).

*Definition.* For each subset $J$ of $\{1,\dots,n\}$ with $|J|=s+1\geq 2$ and for two distinct elements $i$ and $j$ of the set $J$,

$$\theta_{ij}(J):=Y(i,J\backslash i)X(j,J\backslash j)\in(1+\mathfrak{p}_{J\backslash i}+\mathfrak{p}_{J\backslash j})^*\subseteq(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*.$$

The **current group** $\Theta_{n,s}$ is the subgroup of $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$ generated by all the elements $\theta_{ij}(J)$ (for all the possible choices of $J$, $i$, and $j$).

In more detail, the element $\theta_{ij}(J)$ belongs to the set $1+\mathfrak{p}_{J\backslash i}+\mathfrak{p}_{J\backslash j}$ and $\theta_{ij}(J)^{-1}=\theta_{ji}(J)\in 1+\mathfrak{p}_{J\backslash i}+\mathfrak{p}_{J\backslash j}$. This follows from the action of the element $\theta_{ij}(J)$ on the monomial basis of the polynomial algebra $P_n$,

$$\theta_{ij}(J)*x^\alpha=\begin{cases}x^\alpha & \text{if }\exists k\in J\backslash\{i,j\}:\alpha_k\neq 0,\\ x^\alpha & \text{if }\forall k\in J\backslash\{i,j\}:\alpha_k=0,\alpha_i>0,\alpha_j>0,\\ x^{\alpha-e_i} & \text{if }\forall k\in J\backslash\{i,j\}:\alpha_k=0,\alpha_i>0,\alpha_j=0,\\ x^{\alpha+e_j} & \text{if }\forall k\in J\backslash\{i,j\}:\alpha_k=0,\alpha_i=0,\alpha_j\geq 0.\end{cases}\tag{19}$$

Alternatively, note that $\mu_{J\backslash j}(x_jy_j)=\mu_{J\backslash j}(1-e_{\{j\}})=1-e_{\{j\}}e_{J\backslash j}=1-e_J$ and (using (5)) $\mu_{J\backslash i}(y_i)e_J=(1+(y_i-1)e_{J\backslash i})e_J=e_J+(y_i-1)e_J=e_J-e_J=0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{ij}(J)\theta_{ji}(J)&=\mu_{J\backslash i}(y_i)\mu_{J\backslash j}(x_j)\cdot\mu_{J\backslash j}(y_j)\mu_{J\backslash i}(x_i)=\mu_{J\backslash i}(y_i)\cdot\mu_{J\backslash j}(x_jy_j)\cdot\mu_{J\backslash i}(x_i)\\&=\mu_{J\backslash i}(y_i)\cdot(1-e_J)\cdot\mu_{J\backslash i}(x_i)=\mu_{J\backslash i}(y_ix_i)=\mu_{J\backslash i}(1)=1.\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, $\theta_{ji}(J)\theta_{ij}(J) = 1$, i.e.

$$\theta_{ij}(J) = \theta_{ji}(J)^{-1}. \tag{20}$$

Therefore, the unit $\theta_{ij}(I)$ is the product of an injective and surjective maps which are not bijections.

Suppose that $i$, $j$, and $k$ are distinct elements of the set $J$ (hence $|J| \geq 3$). Then

$$\theta_{ij}(J)\theta_{jk}(J) = \theta_{ik}(J). \tag{21}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{ij}(J)\theta_{jk}(J) &= \mu_{J\backslash i}(y_i) \cdot \mu_{J\backslash j}(x_j)\mu_{J\backslash j}(y_j) \cdot \mu_{J\backslash k}(x_k) \\
&= \mu_{J\backslash i}(y_i) \cdot \mu_{J\backslash j}(x_j y_j) \cdot \mu_{J\backslash k}(x_k) \\
&= \mu_{J\backslash i}(y_i) \cdot (1 - e_J) \cdot \mu_{J\backslash k}(x_k) \\
&= \mu_{J\backslash i}(y_i)\mu_{J\backslash k}(x_k) = \theta_{ik}(J).
\end{aligned}$$

For each number $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$, the free abelian group $\mathbb{X}'_{n,s}$ admits the decomposition $\mathbb{X}'_{n,s} = \bigoplus_{|J|=s+1} \bigoplus_{j \cup I = J} \mathbb{Z}(j, I)$, and using it we define a character (a homomorphism) $\chi'_J$, for each subset $J$ with $|J| = s+1$:

$$\chi'_J : \mathbb{X}'_{n,s} \to \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sum_{|J'|=s+1} \sum_{j \cup I = J'} n_{j,I}(j, I) \mapsto \sum_{j \cup I = J} n_{j,I}.$$

Let $\max(J)$ be the maximal number of the set $J$. The group $\mathbb{X}'_{n,s}$ is the direct sum

$$\mathbb{X}'_{n,s} = \mathbb{K}'_{n,s} \bigoplus \mathbb{Y}'_{n,s} \tag{22}$$

of its free abelian subgroups,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{K}'_{n,s} &= \bigcap_{|J|=s+1} \ker(\chi'_J) = \bigoplus_{|J|=s+1} \bigoplus_{j \in J\backslash \max(J)} \mathbb{Z}(-(\max(J), J\backslash \max(J)) + (j, J\backslash j)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{s+1}s}, \\
\mathbb{Y}'_{n,s} &= \bigoplus_{|J|=s+1} \mathbb{Z}((\max(J), J\backslash \max(J)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{s+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the group homomorphism $\psi'_{n,s} : (1 + \mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* \to \mathbb{X}'_{n,s}$ defined as the composition of the following group homomorphisms:

$$\psi'_{n,s} : (1 + \mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* \to (1 + \mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*/\Gamma_{n,s} \overset{\psi_{n,s}}{\to} \prod_{|I|=s} (1 + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I)^*/\overline{\Gamma}_{n,s} \simeq \mathbb{X}_{n,s} \simeq \mathbb{X}'_{n,s}.$$

Then

$$\psi'_{n,s}(\theta_{ij}(J)) = -(i, J\backslash i) + (j, J\backslash j). \tag{23}$$

It follows that

$$\psi'_{n,s}(\Theta_{n,s}) = \mathbb{K}'_{n,s}, \tag{24}$$

since, by (23), $\psi'_{n,s}(\Theta_{n,s}) \supseteq \mathbb{K}'_{n,s}$ (as the free basis for $\mathbb{K}'_{n,s}$, introduced above, belongs to the set $\psi'_{n,s}(\Theta_{n,s})$; again, by (23), $\psi'_{n,s}(\Theta_{n,s}) \subseteq \bigcap_{|J|=s+1} \ker(\chi'_J) = \mathbb{K}'_{n,s}$).

Let $H, H_1, \ldots, H_m$ be subsets (usually subgroups) of a group $H'$. We say that $H$ is the *product* of $H_1, \ldots, H_m$, and write $H = {}^{set}\prod_{i=1}^m H_i = H_1 \cdots H_m$, if each element $h$ of $H$ is a product $h = h_1 \cdots h_m$ where $h_i \in H_i$. We add the subscript 'set' (sometime) in order to distinguish it from the direct product of groups. We say that $H$ is the *exact product* of $H_1, \ldots, H_m$, and write $H = {}^{exact}\prod_{i=1}^m H_i = H_1 \times_{ex} \cdots \times_{ex} H_m$, if each element $h$ of $H$ is a *unique* product $h = h_1 \cdots h_m$ where $h_i \in H_i$. The order in the definition of the exact product is important.

The subgroup of $(1 + \mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$ generated by the groups $\Theta_{n,s}$ and $\Gamma_{n,s}$ is equal to their product $\Theta_{n,s}\Gamma_{n,s}$, by the normality of $\Gamma_{n,s}$. The subgroup $\Gamma_{n,s}$ of the group $\Theta_{n,s}\Gamma_{n,s}$ is a normal subgroup, hence the intersection $\Theta_{n,s} \cap \Gamma_{n,s}$ is a normal subgroup of $\Theta_{n,s}$.

**Lemma 2.4** *For each number $s = 1, \dots, n-1$, the group $\Theta_{n,s}\Gamma_{n,s}$ is the exact product*

$$\Theta_{n,s}\Gamma_{n,s} =^{exact} \prod_{|J|=s+1} \prod_{j\in J\setminus \max(J)} \langle \theta_{\max(J),j}(J)\rangle \cdot \Gamma_{n,s},$$

*i.e. each element $a \in \Theta_{n,s}\Gamma_{n,s}$ is a unique product $a = \prod_{|J|=s+1}\prod_{j\in J\setminus \max(J)} \theta_{\max(J),j}(J)^{n(j,J)} \cdot \gamma$ where $n(j,J) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_{n,s}$. Moreover, the group $\Theta_{n,s}\Gamma_{n,s}$ is the semi-direct product*

$$\Theta_{n,s}\Gamma_{n,s} =^{semi} \prod_{|J|=s+1} \prod_{j\in J\setminus \max(J)} \langle \theta_{\max(J),j}(J)\rangle \ltimes \Gamma_{n,s},$$

*where the order in the double product is arbitrary.*

*Proof.* The lemma follows at once from (24) and the fact that the elements $\psi'_{n,s}(\theta_{\max(J),j}(J)) = -(\max(J), J\setminus \max(J)) + (j, J\setminus j)$ form a basis for the free abelian group $\mathbb{K}'_{n,s}$. $\square$

For each number $s = 1, \dots, n-1$, consider the subset of $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$,

$$\Theta'_{n,s} :=^{exact} \prod_{|J|=s+1} \prod_{j\in J\setminus \max(J)} \langle \theta_{\max(J),j}(J)\rangle, \tag{25}$$

which is the exact product of cyclic groups (each of them is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$) since each element $u$ of $\Theta'_{n,s}$ is a *unique* product $u = \prod_{|J|=s+1}\prod_{j\in J\setminus \max(J)} \theta_{\max(J),j}(J)^{n(j,J)}$ where $n(j,J) \in \mathbb{Z}$ (Lemma 2.4).

By Lemma 2.4, $\Theta_{n,s}/\Theta_{n,s}\cap\Gamma_{n,s} \simeq \Theta_{n,s}\Gamma_{n,s}/\Gamma_{n,s} \simeq \mathbb{K}'_{n,s} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{s+1}s}$, and so

$$[\Theta_{n,s}, \Theta_{n,s}] \subseteq \Gamma_{n,s}. \tag{26}$$

The next theorem is the pennacle of finding the explicit generators for the groups $\mathbb{S}_n^*$ and $G_n$.

**Theorem 2.5** $\psi'_{n,s}((1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*) = \psi'_{n,s}(\Theta_{n,s})$ *for* $s = 1, \dots, n-1$.

*A rough sketch of the proof.* The proof is rather long, it is given in Section 4. We use an induction on $n$ (the case $n = 2$ was considered in [7]), and then, for a fixed $n$, we use a second downward induction on $s = 1, \dots, n-1$ starting with $s = n-1$. The initial step $(n,s) = (n, n-1)$ is the most difficult one. We spend entire Section 3 to give its proof. The remaining cases, using double induction, can be deduced from the initial one (for different $n'$, i.e. when $n'$ runs from 1 till $n$). The key idea in the proof of the case $(n, n-1)$ is to use the Fredholm operators and their indices. Then using well-known results on indices, some (new) results on the Fredholm operators and their indices from [7] and their generalizations obtained in Section 3 we construct several index maps (using various indices of the Fredholm operators). The most difficult part is to prove that these maps are well-defined (as their constructions are based on highly non-unique decompositions). Then the proof follows from the properties of these index maps. $\square$

## 3 The groups $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*$ and $\Theta_{n,n-1}$

In this section, the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*$ is found (Corollary 3.9). We mentioned already in the Introduction that the key idea in finding the group $G_n$ is to use indices of operators. That is why we start this section with collecting known results on indices and prove new ones. These results are used in many proofs that follow.

**The index** ind **of linear maps and its properties**. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the family of all $K$-linear maps with finite dimensional kernel and cokernel (such maps are called the *Fredholm linear maps/operatorts*). So, $\mathcal{C}$ is the family of *Fredholm* linear maps/operators. For vector spaces

$V$ and $U$, let $\mathcal{C}(V,U)$ be the set of all the linear maps from $V$ to $U$ with finite dimensional kernel and cokernel. So, $\mathcal{C}=\bigcup_{V,U}\mathcal{C}(V,U)$ is the disjoint union.

*Definition.* For a linear map $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}$, the integer $\mathrm{ind}(\varphi):=\dim\ker(\varphi)-\dim\mathrm{coker}(\varphi)$ is called the *index* of the map $\varphi$.

For vector spaces $V$ and $U$, let $\mathcal{C}(V,U)_i:=\{\varphi\in\mathcal{C}(V,U)\,|\,\mathrm{ind}(\varphi)=i\}$. Then $\mathcal{C}(V,U)=\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{C}(V,U)_i$ is the disjoint union, and the set $\mathcal{C}$ is the disjoint union $\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{C}_i$ where $\mathcal{C}_i:=\{\varphi\in\mathcal{C}\,|\,\mathrm{ind}(\varphi)=i\}$. When $V=U$, we write $\mathcal{C}(V):=\mathcal{C}(V,V)$ and $\mathcal{C}(V)_i:=\mathcal{C}(V,V)_i$.

*Example.* Note that $\mathbb{S}_1\subset\mathrm{End}_K(P_1)$. The map $x^i\in\mathrm{End}_K(P_1)$ is an injection with $P_1=(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{i-1}Kx^j)\bigoplus\mathrm{im}(x^i)$; and the map $y^i\in\mathrm{End}_K(P_1)$ is a surjection with $\ker(y^i)=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{i-1}Kx^j$. Hence

$$\mathrm{ind}(x^i)=-i\ \text{ and }\ \mathrm{ind}(y^i)=i,\ \ i\geq 1. \tag{27}$$

Lemma 3.1 shows that $\mathcal{C}$ is a multiplicative semigroup with zero element (if the composition of two elements of $C$ is undefined we set their product to be zero). The next two lemmas are well known.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let $\psi:M\to N$ and $\varphi:N\to L$ be $K$-linear maps. If two of the following three maps: $\psi$, $\varphi$, and $\varphi\psi$, belong to the set $\mathcal{C}$ then so does the third; and in this case,*

$$\mathrm{ind}(\varphi\psi)=\mathrm{ind}(\varphi)+\mathrm{ind}(\psi).$$

By lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{C}(N,L)_i\mathcal{C}(M,N)_j\subseteq\mathcal{C}(M,L)_{i+j}$ for all $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$.

**Lemma 3.2** *Let*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & V_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varphi_1"] & V_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varphi_2"] & V_3 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varphi_3"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & U_1 \arrow[r] & U_2 \arrow[r] & U_3 \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

*be a commutative diagram of $K$-linear maps with exact rows. Suppose that $\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\varphi_2\in\mathcal{C}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{ind}(\varphi_2)=\mathrm{ind}(\varphi_1)+\mathrm{ind}(\varphi_3).$$

Let $V$ and $U$ be vector spaces. Define $\mathcal{I}(V,U):=\{\varphi\in\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,U)\,|\,\dim\mathrm{im}(\varphi)<\infty\}$, and when $V=U$ we write $\mathcal{I}(V):=\mathcal{I}(V,V)$.

**Theorem 3.3** *[7] Let $V$ and $U$ be vector spaces. Then $\mathcal{C}(V,U)_i+\mathcal{I}(V,U)=\mathcal{C}(V,U)_i$ for all $i\in\mathbb{Z}$.*

**Lemma 3.4** *[7] Let $V$ and $V'$ be vector spaces, and $\varphi:V\to V'$ be a linear map such that the vector spaces $\ker(\varphi)$ and $\mathrm{coker}(\varphi)$ are isomorphic. Fix subspaces $U\subseteq V$ and $W\subseteq V'$ such that $V=\ker(\varphi)\bigoplus U$ and $V'=W\bigoplus\mathrm{im}(\varphi)$ and fix an isomorphism $f:\ker(\varphi)\to W$ (this is possible since $\ker(\varphi)\simeq\mathrm{coker}(\varphi)\simeq W$) and extend it to a linear map $f:V\to V'$ by setting $f(U)=0$. Then the map $\varphi+f:V\to V'$ is an isomorphism.*

**Corollary 3.5** *1. $1+F_n\subseteq\mathcal{C}(P_n)_0$.*

*2. $\mathbb{S}_n^*+F_n\subseteq\mathcal{C}(P_n)_0$.*

*Proof.* Both statements follows from Theorem 3.3: $\mathbb{S}_n^*\in\mathcal{C}(P_n)_0$ and $F_n\in\mathcal{I}(P_n)$, but we give short independent proofs (that do not use Theorem 3.3).

1. Since $1+F_n\simeq 1+M_\infty(K)$, statement 1 is obvious.

2. Let $u\in\mathbb{S}_n^*$ and $f\in F_n$. Then $u^{-1}f\in F_n$. By statement 1, the element $1+u^{-1}f\in\mathcal{C}(P_n)_0$. Since $u\in\mathcal{C}(P_n)_0$, we have $u+f=u(1+u^{-1}f)\in\mathcal{C}(P_n)_0$, by Lemma 3.1. $\square$

**The subgroup $\Theta_{n,n-1}$ of $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*$ for $n \geq 2$.** For each pair of indices $i \neq j$, the element

$$\theta_{ij} := \theta_{ij}(\{1,\dots,n\}) := (1+(y_i-1)\prod_{k\neq i} E_{00}(k)) \cdot (1+(x_j-1)\prod_{l\neq j} E_{00}(l)) \in (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*$$

is a unit and

$$\theta_{ij}^{-1} = (1+(y_j-1)\prod_{l\neq j} E_{00}(l)) \cdot (1+(x_i-1)\prod_{k\neq i} E_{00}(k)) \in (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*, \tag{28}$$

i.e. $\theta_{ij}^{-1} = \theta_{ji}$. This is obvious since

$$\theta_{ij}*x^\alpha = \begin{cases} x_i^{\alpha_i-1} & \text{if } \alpha_i > 0, \forall k \neq i : \alpha_k = 0, \\ x_j^{\alpha_j+1} & \text{if } \alpha_j \geq 0, \forall l \neq j : \alpha_l = 0, \\ x^\alpha & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{ij}^{-1}*x^\alpha = \begin{cases} x_i^{\alpha_i+1} & \text{if } \alpha_i \geq 0, \forall k \neq i : \alpha_k = 0, \\ x_j^{\alpha_j-1} & \text{if } \alpha_j > 0, \forall l \neq j : \alpha_l = 0. \\ x^\alpha & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using the above action of the elements $\theta_{ij}$ on the monomial basis for the polynomial algebra $P_n$, it is easy to show that the elements $\theta_{ij}$ *commute* modulo $(1+F_n)^*$; , $\theta_{jk}\theta_{ij} \equiv \theta_{i,k} \mod (1+F_n)^*$ for all distinct elements $i$, $j$, and $k$; and $\theta_{ij} * 1 = x_j^m$ for all $m \geq 1$. Recall that $\Theta_{n,n-1}$ is the subgroup of $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*$ generated by the elements $\theta_{ij}$. It follows from

$$(1+(y_i-1)\prod_{k\neq i} E_{00}(k)) * x^\alpha = \begin{cases} x_i^{\alpha_i-1} & \text{if } \alpha_i > 0, \forall k \neq i : \alpha_k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ x^\alpha & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

that the map $1+(y_i-1)\prod_{k\neq i} E_{00}(k) \in \mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ is a surjection with kernel equal to $K$, and so

$$\mathrm{ind}(1+(y_i-1)\prod_{k\neq i} E_{00}(k)) = 1. \tag{29}$$

Similarly, it follows from

$$(1+(x_j-1)\prod_{l\neq j} E_{00}(l)) * x^\alpha = \begin{cases} x_j^{\alpha_j+1} & \text{if } \forall l \neq j : \alpha_l = 0, \\ x^\alpha & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

that the map $1+(x_j-1)\prod_{l\neq j} E_{00}(l) \in \mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ is an injection such that $P_n = K \bigoplus \mathrm{im}(1+(x_j-1)\prod_{l\neq j} E_{00}(l))$, and so

$$\mathrm{ind}(1+(x_j-1)\prod_{l\neq j} E_{00}(l)) = -1. \tag{30}$$

We see that the unit $\theta_{ij}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is the product of two non-units having nonzero indices of opposite sign (note that $\mathrm{ind}(\theta_{ij}) = 0$, and so the sum of the two indices is equal to zero). Lemma 3.6 shows that this is a general phenomenon, and so the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*$ is a 'complicated' group in the sense that in producing units non-units are involved.

**Lemma 3.6** *Let $u = 1+\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \in (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*$ where $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}_{Ci}$. Then*

1. *$1+a_i \in \mathcal{C}(P_n)$ for all $i = 1,\dots,n$; and $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{ind}(1+a_i) = 0$.*
2. *If $u = 1+\sum_{i=1}^n a_i'$ where $a_i' \in \mathfrak{p}_{Ci}$ then $\mathrm{ind}(1+a_i) = \mathrm{ind}(1+a_i')$ for all $i = 1,\dots,n$.*

*Proof.* 1. Since $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}_{Ci}$ for all $i$, we have $a_ia_j \in F_n$ provided $i \neq j$. It follows that the elements $f := u-(1+a_1)(1+a_2)\cdots(1+a_n)$ and $f' := u-(1+a_2)\cdots(1+a_n)(1+a_1)$ belong to the ideal $F_n$. By Corollary 3.5.(2), $u-f, u-f' \in \mathcal{C}(P_n)_0$. Then, it follows from the equalities $u-f=(1+a_1)(1+a_2)\cdots(1+a_n)$ and $u-f'=(1+a_2)\cdots(1+a_n)(1+a_1)$ that

$$\mathrm{im}(1+a_1)\supseteq \mathrm{im}(u-f) \;\text{ and }\; \ker(1+a_1)\subseteq \ker(u-f').$$

This means that $1+a_1\in\mathcal{C}(P_n)$. By symmetry, $1+a_i\in\mathcal{C}(P_n)$ for all $i$. By Corollary 3.5.(2) and Lemma 3.1,

$$0=\mathrm{ind}(u)=\mathrm{ind}(u-f)=\mathrm{ind}(1+a_1)\cdots(1+a_n)=\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{ind}(1+a_i).$$

2. For each number $i$, $f_i:=a_i'-a_i=-\sum_{j\neq i}(a_j'-a_j)\in \mathfrak{p}_{Ci}\bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i=\bigcap_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_j=F_n$. Since $F_n\subseteq \mathcal{I}(P_n)$, we see that $\mathrm{ind}(1+a_i')=\mathrm{ind}(1+a_i+f_i)=\mathrm{ind}(1+a_i)$, by Theorem 3.3. $\square$

By Lemma 3.6, for each number $i=1,\ldots,n$, there is a well-defined map,

$$\mathrm{ind}_i : (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*\rightarrow \mathbb{Z},\;\; u=1+\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\mapsto \mathrm{ind}(1+a_i), \tag{31}$$

(where $a_i\in\mathfrak{p}_{Ci}$) which is a group homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ind}_i(uu') &= \mathrm{ind}_i((1+\sum_{i=1}^n a_i)(1+\sum_{j=1}^n a_j'))=\mathrm{ind}(1+a_i+a_i'+a_ia_i')\\ &= \mathrm{ind}((1+a_i)(1+a_i'))=\mathrm{ind}(1+a_i)+\mathrm{ind}(1+a_i')\\ &= \mathrm{ind}_i(u)+\mathrm{ind}_i(u'),\end{aligned}$$

since $a_ja_j'\in\mathfrak{p}_{Cj}$ for all $j$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{n,n-1}$ be the kernel of the group epimorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathrm{ind}_i : (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}e_i,\;\; 1+\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathrm{ind}(1+a_i)\cdot e_i,$$

where $a_i\in\mathfrak{p}_{Ci}$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$. The restriction of the epimorphism to the subset $\Theta'_{n,n-1}:={}^{exact}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\langle\theta_{n,j}\rangle$ is a bijection since (by (29) and (30))

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathrm{ind}_i(\theta_{j,j+1})=\begin{cases} e_j-e_{j+1} & \text{if } j<n-1,\\ e_{n-1} & \text{if } j=n-1.\end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*={}^{exact}\Theta'_{n,n-1}\cdot\mathcal{K}_{n,n-1},\;\; \mathcal{K}_{n,n-1}=\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker(\mathrm{ind}_i), \tag{32}$$

by Lemma 3.6.(1). So, $\mathcal{K}_{n,n-1}$ is the normal subgroup of the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*$, $\Theta'_{n,n-1}\cap\mathcal{K}_{n,n-1}=\{1\}$, and each element $u$ of the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$ is a unique product $vw$ for some elements $v\in\Theta'_{n,n-1}$ and $w\in\mathcal{K}_{n,n-1}$. The subgroups $(1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^*$, $i=1,\ldots,n$ of the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*$ or $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ are normal, and $(1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^*\bigcap(1+\mathfrak{p}_{Cj})^*=(1+F_n)^*$ for all $i\neq j$. The product $\prod_{i=1}^n(1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^*:=\{u_1\cdots u_n\,|\,u_i\in(1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^*, i=1,\ldots,n\}$ is a normal subgroup of $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*$ and $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$. In fact, the order in the product can be arbitrary (by normality). Clearly, $\prod_{i=1}^n(1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^*\subseteq\mathcal{K}_{n,n-1}$. In fact, the equality holds as the next proposition shows.

**Proposition 3.7** *1.* $\mathcal{K}_{n,n-1}=\prod_{i=1}^n(1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^*$.

*2.* $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*={}^{exact}\Theta'_{n,n-1}\cdot(\prod_{i=1}^n(1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^*)=\langle\theta_{n,1}\rangle\ltimes\cdots\ltimes\langle\theta_{n,n-1}\rangle\ltimes(\prod_{i=1}^n(1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^*)$.

*Proof.* 1. It suffices to show that each element $u = 1+\sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ (where $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}_{Ci}$) of the group $\mathcal{K}_{n,n-1}$ is a product $u_1\cdots u_n$ of some elements $u_i \in (1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^*$. By Lemma 3.6, $1+a_1 \in \mathcal{C}(P_n)_0$ since $u \in \mathcal{K}_{n,n-1}$. Fix a subspace, say $W$, of $P_n$ such that $P_n = \ker(1+a_1)\bigoplus W$ and $W = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in I} Kx^{\alpha}$ where $I$ is a subset of $\mathbb{N}^n$. By Lemma 3.4, we can find an element $f_1 \in F_n$ (since $\dim \ker(1+a_1) < \infty$, $W$ has a monomial basis, and $f_1(W) = 0$) such that $u_1 := 1+a_1+f_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$. We claim that $u_1 \in (1+\mathfrak{p}_{C1})^*$. It is a subtle point since *not all* elements of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ that are invertible linear maps in $P_n$ are invertible in $\mathbb{S}_n$, i.e. $\mathbb{S}_n^* \subsetneqq \mathbb{S}_n \cap \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$ but

$$(1+F_n)^* = (1+F_n)\cap \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n), \ \ [5].$$

The main idea in the proof of the claim is to use this equality. Similarly, for each $i \geq 2$, we can find an element $f_i \in F_n$ such that $v_i := 1+a_i+f_i \in \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$. Then $v := v_2\cdots v_n \in \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n)$, $u = u_1v+g_1$ and $u = vu_1+g_2$ for some elements $g_i \in F_n$. Hence,

$$u_1vu^{-1} = 1-g_1u^{-1} \ \text{ and } \ u^{-1}vu_1 = 1-u^{-1}g_2,$$

and so $1-g_1u^{-1}, 1-u^{-1}g_2 \in (1+F_n)\cap \mathrm{Aut}_K(P_n) = (1+F_n)^*$. It follows that $u_1^{-1} = vu^{-1}(1-g_1u^{-1})^{-1} \in (1+\mathfrak{p}_{C1})^*$ since

$$1 \equiv 1-g_1u^{-1} \equiv u_1vu^{-1} \equiv vu^{-1} \mod \mathfrak{p}_{C1}.$$

This proves the claim. Clearly, $u_2' := v+u_1^{-1}g_1 \in 1+\sum_{j=2}^n \mathfrak{p}_{Cj}$. Then, it follows from the equality $u = u_1v+g_1 = u_1(v+u_1^{-1}g_1) = u_1u_2'$ that $u_2' = u_1^{-1}u \in (1+\sum_{j=2}^n \mathfrak{p}_{Cj})^*$. Repeating the same argument for the element $u_2'$ we find an element $u_2 \in (1+\mathfrak{p}_{C2})^*$ such that $u_3' := u_2^{-1}u_2' \in (1+\sum_{j=3}^n \mathfrak{p}_{Cj})^*$. Repeating the same argument again and again (or use induction) we find elements $u_i \in (1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^*$ and elements $u_i' \in (1+\sum_{j=i+1}^n \mathfrak{p}_{Cj})^*$ such that $u_i' = u_{i-1}^{-1}u_{i-1}'$, hence $u = u_1u_2' = u_1u_2u_3' = \cdots = u_1u_2\cdots u_n$, as required.

2. Statement 2 follows from statement 1 and (32). $\square$

For each number $i = 1, \ldots, n$, the group of units of the monoid $1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci} = 1+\mathbb{S}_1(i)\bigotimes\bigotimes_{j\neq i} F(j) \simeq 1+M_\infty(\mathbb{S}_1(i))$ is equal to $(1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^* \simeq \mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{S}_1(i))$. It contains the semi-direct product $U_{Ci}(K)\ltimes E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_1(i))$ of its two subgroups, where

$$U_{Ci}(K) := \{\lambda \prod_{j\neq i} E_{00}(j) + 1 - \prod_{j\neq i} E_{00}(j)\,|\, \lambda \in K^*\} \simeq K^*,$$

and the group $E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_1(i))$ is generated by all the elementary matrices $1+aE_{kl}(Ci)$ where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}$, $k \neq l$, $E_{kl}(Ci) := \prod_{j\neq i} E_{k_jl_j}(j)$, and $a \in \mathbb{S}_1(i)$. We will see (Proposition 3.8) that the group $(1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^*$ coincides with the semi-direct product.

The set $F_n$ is an ideal of the algebra $K+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci} = K(1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})$ which is a subalgebra of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$, and $(K+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})/F_n = K(1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci}/F_n) \simeq K(1+M_\infty(L_i))$ where $L_i := K[x_i, x_i^{-1}] \simeq \mathbb{S}_1(i)/F(i)$ is the Laurent polynomial algebra. The algebra $L_i$ is a Euclidean domain, hence $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(L_i) = U(L_i)\ltimes E_\infty(L_i)$ where

$$U(L_i) := \{a\prod_{j\neq i} E_{00}(j) + 1 - \prod_{j\neq i} E_{00}(j)\,|\, a \in L_i^*\} \simeq L_i^* = K^*\times \{x_i^m\,|\, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and $E_\infty(L_i)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_\infty(L_i)$ generated by all the elementary matrices.

The group of units of the algebra $(K+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})/F_n$ is equal to $K^*\times \mathrm{GL}_\infty(L_i) = K^*\times (U(L_i)\ltimes E_\infty(L_i))$. The algebra epimorphism $\psi_{Ci} : K+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci} \to (K+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})/F_n$, $a \mapsto a+F_n$, induces the exact sequence of groups,

$$1 \to (1+F_n)^* \to (1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^* \overset{\psi_{Ci}}{\to} \mathrm{GL}_\infty(L_i) = U(L_i)\ltimes E_\infty(L_i), \tag{33}$$

which yields the short exact sequence of groups,

$$1 \to (1+F_n)^* \to U_{Ci}(K)\ltimes E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_1(i)) \to U(K)\ltimes E_\infty(L_i) \to 1. \tag{34}$$

Recall that $U_{Ci}(K)\ltimes E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_1(i)) \subseteq (1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^*$. In fact, the equality holds.

**Proposition 3.8** *$(1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^* = U_{Ci}(K) \ltimes E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_1(i))$ for all $i=1,\ldots,n$.*

*Proof.* In view of the exact sequences (33) and (34), it suffices to show that the image of the map $\psi_{Ci}$ in (33) is equal to $U(K) \ltimes E_\infty(L_i))$. Since

$$U(L_i) = U(K) \times \{x_i^m \prod_{j\neq i} E_{00}(j) + 1 - \prod_{j\neq i} E_{00}(j) \,|\, m \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

this is equivalent to show that that if $\psi_{Ci}(u) = x_i^m \prod_{j\neq i} E_{00}(j) + 1 - \prod_{j\neq i} E_{00}(j)$ for some element $u \in (1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^*$ and an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $m=0$. Let $u(m) := v_i(m)\prod_{j\neq i} E_{00}(j) + 1 - \prod_{j\neq i} E_{00}(j)$ where $v_i(m) := \begin{cases} x_i^m & \text{if } m \geq 0, \\ y_i^{|m|} & \text{if } m < 0. \end{cases}$ Then $u(m) \in 1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci}$ and $\psi_{Ci}(u(m)) = \psi_{Ci}(u)$. Hence, $u(m) = u + f_m$ for some element $f_m \in F_n$. Note that

$$u(m) = \begin{cases} u(1)^m & \text{if } m \geq 0, \\ u(-1)^{|m|} & \text{if } m < 0, \end{cases}$$

and, by (29) and (30), $\mathrm{ind}(u(m)) = -m$. By Corollary 3.5.(2).

$$0 = \mathrm{ind}(u) = \mathrm{ind}(u+f_m) = \mathrm{ind}(u(m)) = -m,$$

and so $m = 0$, as required. $\square$

Combining Proposition 3.7.(1) and Proposition 3.8, we have the next corollary.

**Corollary 3.9** *$(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^* = \Theta'_{n,n-1} \times_{ex} ({}^{set}\prod_{i=1}^n (1+\mathfrak{p}_{Ci})^*) \simeq \Theta'_{n,n-1} \times_{ex} ({}^{set}\prod_{i=1}^n U_{Ci}(K) \ltimes E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_1(i))) \simeq \langle\theta_{n,1}\rangle \ltimes \cdots \ltimes \langle\theta_{n,n-1}\rangle \ltimes ({}^{set}\prod_{i=1}^n U_{Ci}(K) \ltimes E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_1(i)))$.*

Using Corollary 3.9, we can write down explicit generators for the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*$, see Theorem 4.5 where explicit generators are given for all the groups $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$.

# 4 The structure of the groups $\mathbb{S}_n^*$, $G_n$ and their generators

In this section, a proof of Theorem 2.5 is given, the groups $\mathbb{S}_n^*$, $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$, and $G_n$ and their generators are found explicitly (Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.5, and Theorem 4.6).

**Proof of Theorem 2.5.** To prove the theorem we use induction on $n$. The inial step when $n=2$ follows from Corollary 3.9 as in this case there is only one option, $(n,s)=(2,1)$. So, let $n>2$, and suppose that the theorem holds for all pairs $(n',s')$, $s'=1,\ldots,n'-1$ such that $n'<n$. For the number $n$, we use a second downward induction on $s=1,\ldots,n-1$ starting with $s=n-1$. In this case, i.e. $(n,s)=(n,n-1)$, the theorem holds as it follows from Corollary 3.9. So, let $s<n-1$, and suppose that the statement is true for all pairs $(n,s')$ with $s'=s+1,\ldots,n-1$. For each number $i=1,\ldots,n$, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{Ci}\otimes K(x_i)$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ but over the field $K(x_i)$ of rational functions. By the induction on $n$, the theorem holds for the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{Ci}\otimes K(x_i)$. In order to stress that we consider the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{Ci}$ over the field $K(x_i)$ rather than $K$ we add the subscript '$Ci$' to all the notations introduced for the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{Ci}$ but over the field $K$. For example, $\mathfrak{a}_{n-1,s,Ci}\otimes K(x_i)$ stands for the ideal $\mathfrak{a}_{n-1,s}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{Ci}$ but over the field $K(x_i)$, etc.

For each number $i=1,\ldots,n$ and for each number $s=1,\ldots,n-2$, the composition of the two algebra homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{S}_n \to \mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{p}_i \simeq \mathbb{S}_{Ci}\otimes K[x_i,x_i^{-1}] \to \mathbb{S}_{Ci}\otimes K(x_i)$$

induces the group homomorphism $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* \to (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n-1,s,Ci}\otimes K(x_i))^*$. This homomorphism yields the commutative diagram where all the maps are obvious (and natural):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \frac{(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*}{\Gamma_{n,s}} \arrow[r, "\overline{\psi}_{n,s}"] \arrow[d] & \frac{\prod_{|I|=s}(1+\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I)^*}{\overline{\Gamma}_{n,s}} \simeq \mathbb{X}_{n,s} \simeq \mathbb{X}'_{n,s} \arrow[d, "\varphi_{n,s,i}"] \\
(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n-1,s,Ci} \otimes K(x_i))^* \arrow[r] & \frac{(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n-1,s,Ci}\otimes K(x_i))^*}{\Gamma_{n-1,s,Ci}} \arrow[r, "\overline{\psi}_{n-1,s,Ci}"] & \frac{\prod'(1+\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I\otimes K(x_i))^*}{\overline{\Gamma}_{n-1,s,Ci}} \simeq \mathbb{X}_{n-1,s,Ci} \simeq \mathbb{X}'_{n-1,s,Ci}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\prod' := \prod_{\{I:|I|=s, i\not\in I\}}$ and the map $\varphi_{n,s,i} : \mathbb{X}'_{n,s} \to \mathbb{X}'_{n-1,s,Ci}$ is given by the rule

$$\varphi_{n,s,i}((j,I)) = \begin{cases} (j,I) & \text{if } i \not\in I \cup j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is obvious. By the induction on $n$, we have the equality $\psi'_{n-1,s,Ci}((1+\mathfrak{a}_{n-1,s,Ci}\otimes K(x_i))^*) = \psi'_{n-1,s,Ci}(\Theta_{n-1,s,Ci})$ for each $s = 1, \ldots, n-2$. Then, by the commutative diagram above,

$$\varphi_{n,s,i}\psi'_{n,s}((1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*) \subseteq \psi'_{n-1,s,Ci}((1+\mathfrak{a}_{n-1,s,Ci}\otimes K(x_i))^*) = \psi'_{n-1,s,Ci}(\Theta_{n-1,s,Ci}). \qquad (35)$$

It follows from the definition of the map $\varphi_{n,s,i}$ that

$$\varphi_{n,s,i}(\mathbb{Y}'_{n,s}) \subseteq \mathbb{Y}'_{n-1,s,Ci}. \qquad (36)$$

Summarizing, for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, by (22) and (24), there is the map

$$\varphi_{n,s,i} : \mathbb{X}'_{n,s} = \psi'_{n,s}(\Theta_{n,s}) \bigoplus \mathbb{Y}'_{n,s} \to \mathbb{X}'_{n-1,s,Ci} = \psi_{n-1,s,Ci}(\Theta_{n-1,s,Ci}) \bigoplus \mathbb{Y}'_{n-1,s,Ci}$$

satisfying (35) and (36). The group homomorphism $\varphi_{n,s} := \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_{n,s,i} : \mathbb{X}'_{n,s} \to \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{X}'_{n-1,s,Ci}$ is a monomorphism since it has trivial kernel: $\ker(\varphi_{n,s}) = \bigoplus_{i\in I\cup j}\mathbb{Z}(j,I)$ where the pairs $(j,I)$ in the direct sum are such that $i \in I \cup j$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ (see the definition of the map $\varphi_{n,s,i}$), i.e. $I \cup j = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ but the number of elements in the set $I \cup j$ is $s+1 < n-1+1 = n$, a contradiction. This means that $\ker(\varphi_{n,s}) = 0$. Let $u \in (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$. Then $\psi'_{n,s}(u) = a + b$ for unique elements $a \in \psi'_{n,s}(\Theta_{n,s})$ and $b \in \mathbb{Y}'_{n,s}$. By (35) and (36), $\varphi_{n,s,i}(b) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, i.e. $\varphi_{n,s}(b) = 0$, and so $b = 0$ since the map $\varphi_{n,s}$ is a monomorphism. This proves that $\psi'_{n,s}((1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*) = \psi_{n,s}(\Theta_{n,s})$. By induction, the theorem holds. The proof of Theorem 2.5 is complete. $\Box$

For each number $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$, consider the following subsets of the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{n,s} := \prod_{|I|=s} U_I(K) \ltimes E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_{CI}) \text{ and } \mathbb{P}_{n,s} := \prod_{|I|=s}(1+\mathfrak{p}_i)^*, \qquad (37)$$

the products of subgroups of $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$ in arbitrary order which is fixed for each $s$.

**Theorem 4.1** *1.* $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^* = \Theta_{n,1}\Gamma_{n,1} = \Theta_{n,1}\mathbb{E}_{n,1}\Theta_{n,2}\mathbb{E}_{n,2}\cdots\Theta_{n,n-1}\mathbb{E}_{n,n-1}$. *Moreover, for* $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$, $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* = \Theta_{n,s}\Gamma_{n,s} = \Theta_{n,s}\mathbb{E}_{n,s}\Theta_{n,s+1}\mathbb{E}_{n,s+1}\cdots\Theta_{n,n-1}\mathbb{E}_{n,n-1}$.

*2.* $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^* = \Theta_{n,1}\Upsilon_{n,1} = \Theta_{n,1}\mathbb{P}_{n,1}\Theta_{n,2}\mathbb{P}_{n,2}\cdots\Theta_{n,n-1}\mathbb{P}_{n,n-1}$. *Moreover, for* $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$, $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* = \Theta_{n,s}\Upsilon_{n,s} = \Theta_{n,s}\mathbb{P}_{n,s}\Theta_{n,s+1}\mathbb{P}_{n,s+1}\cdots\Theta_{n,n-1}\mathbb{P}_{n,n-1}$.

*Proof.* 1. By Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 3.9,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* &= \Theta_{n,s}\Gamma_{n,s} = \Theta_{n,s}\prod_{|I|=s} U_I(K) \ltimes E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_{CI}) \cdot (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s-1})^* = \Theta_{n,s}\mathbb{E}_{n,s}(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s-1})^* \\
&= \Theta_{n,s}\mathbb{E}_{n,s}\Theta_{n,s-1}\mathbb{E}_{n,s-1}(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s-2})^* = \Theta_{n,s}\mathbb{E}_{n,s}\cdots\Theta_{n,n-2}\mathbb{E}_{n,n-2}(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^* \\
&= \Theta_{n,s}\mathbb{E}_{n,s}\Theta_{n,s+1}\mathbb{E}_{n,s+1}\cdots\Theta_{n,n-1}\mathbb{E}_{n,n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

2. Since $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* = \Theta_{n,s}\Gamma_{n,s} \subseteq \Theta_{n,s}\Upsilon_{n,s} \subseteq (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* &= \Theta_{n,s}\Upsilon_{n,s} = \Theta_{n,s}\prod_{|I|=s}(1+\mathfrak{p}_I)^*\cdot(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s-1})^* = \Theta_{n,s}\mathbb{P}_{n,s}(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s-1})^*\\ &= \Theta_{n,s}\mathbb{P}_{n,s}\Theta_{n,s-1}\mathbb{P}_{n,s-1}(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s-2})^* = \Theta_{n,s}\mathbb{P}_{n,s}\cdots\Theta_{n,n-2}\mathbb{P}_{n,n-2}(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n-1})^*\\ &= \Theta_{n,s}\mathbb{P}_{n,s}\Theta_{n,s+1}\mathbb{P}_{n,s+1}\cdots\Theta_{n,n-1}\mathbb{P}_{n,n-1},\end{aligned}$$

by Corollary 3.9. □

Using Lemma 2.4, we can strengthen Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.2** *1.* $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^* = \Theta'_{n,1}\mathbb{E}_{n,1}\Theta'_{n,2}\mathbb{E}_{n,2}\cdots\Theta'_{n,n-1}\mathbb{E}_{n,n-1}$. *Moreover, for* $s=1,\dots,n-1$, $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* = \Theta'_{n,s}\mathbb{E}_{n,s}\Theta'_{n,s+1}\mathbb{E}_{n,s+1}\cdots\Theta'_{n,n-1}\mathbb{E}_{n,n-1}$.

*2.* $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^* = \Theta'_{n,1}\mathbb{P}_{n,1}\Theta'_{n,2}\mathbb{P}_{n,2}\cdots\Theta'_{n,n-1}\mathbb{P}_{n,n-1}$. *Moreover, for* $s=1,\dots,n-1$, $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* = \Theta'_{n,s}\mathbb{P}_{n,s}\Theta'_{n,s+1}\mathbb{P}_{n,s+1}\cdots\Theta'_{n,n-1}\mathbb{P}_{n,n-1}$.

*Proof.* The statements follow from Lemma 2.4, (25), and Theorem 4.1: repeat the proof of Theorem 4.1 replacing $\Theta_{n,t}$ by $\Theta'_{n,t}$ everywhere for all $t$. □

By Theorem 4.1.(1) and Lemma 2.4, the group $\Delta_{n,s}$ is a free abelian group of rank $\binom{n}{s+1}s$ for $s=1,\dots,n-1$:

$$\Delta_{n,s} := (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*/\Gamma_{n,s} = \Theta'_{n,s}\Gamma_{n,s}/\Gamma_{n,s} \simeq \prod_{|J|=s+1}\prod_{j\in J\setminus\max(J)}\langle\theta_{\max(J),j}\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{s+1}s}, \qquad (38)$$

where the double product is the direct product of groups.

**Corollary 4.3** $\mathcal{Z}_{n,s} \simeq \mathbb{Y}'_{n,s} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{s+1}}$ *for* $s=1,\dots,n-1$ *(see (13)).*

*Proof.* Recall that $\psi'_{n,s}((1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*) = \psi'_{n,s}(\Theta_{n,s})$ (Theorem 2.5), $\mathbb{X}'_{n,s} = \mathbb{K}'_{n,s}\bigoplus\mathbb{Y}'_{n,s}$, and $\psi'_{n,s}(\Theta_{n,s}) = \mathbb{K}'_{n,s}$, by (24). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_{n,s} &= \frac{\prod_{|I|=s}(1+\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I)^*}{\psi_{n,s}((1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*)} \simeq \frac{\prod_{|I|=s}(1+\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_I)^*/\overline{\Gamma}_{n,s}}{\psi_{n,s}((1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*)/\overline{\Gamma}_{n,s}} \simeq \frac{\mathbb{X}'_{n,s}}{\psi'_{n,s}((1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*)} \simeq \frac{\mathbb{K}'_{n,s}\bigoplus\mathbb{Y}'_{n,s}}{\psi'_{n,s}(\Theta_{n,s})}\\ &= \frac{\mathbb{K}'_{n,s}\bigoplus\mathbb{Y}'_{n,s}}{\mathbb{K}'_{n,s}} \simeq \mathbb{Y}'_{n,s} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{s+1}}. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.4** $\Upsilon_n = \Gamma_n$ *and* $\Upsilon_{n,s} = \Gamma_{n,s}$ *for all* $s=1,\dots,n$. *In particular, the groups* $\Gamma_{n,s}$ *are* $G_n$*-invariant (hence, normal) subgroups of* $\mathbb{S}_n^*$ *(since* $\Upsilon_{n,s}$ *are so).*

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 2.4, $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* = \Theta_{n,s}\Gamma_{n,s} = \Theta'_{n,s}\Gamma_{n,s}$ for $s=1,\dots,n$, and the last product is exact. Since $\Gamma_{n,s}\subseteq\Upsilon_{n,s}$, we have the equality $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^* = \Theta'_{n,s}\Upsilon_{n,s}$. So, in order to show that the equality $\Gamma_{n,s} = \Upsilon_{n,s}$ holds it suffices to prove that $\Theta'_{n,s}\cap\Upsilon_{n,s} = \{1\}$. To prove this equality, first, we use an induction on $n\geq 2$, and then, for a fixed $n$, we use a second downward induction on $s=1,\dots,n-1$, starting with $s=n-1$. For $n=2$, there is a single option to consider, $(n,s)=(2,1)$. In this case, the equality holds by Corollary 3.9. Let $n>2$, and suppose that the equality holds for all pairs $(n',s)$ with $n'<n$. For $(n,n-1)$, the equality is true by Corollary 3.9. Suppose that $s<n-1$, and that the equality holds for all pairs $(n,s')$ with $s'=s+1,\dots,n-1$. Suppose that $\Theta'_{n,s}\cap\Upsilon_{n,s}\neq\{1\}$, we seek a contradiction. Choose an element, say $u$, from the intersection such that $u\neq 1$. Then the element $u$ is a unique product $u=\prod_{|J|=s+1}\prod_{j\in J\setminus\max(J)}\theta_{\max(J),j}(J)^{n(j,J)}$ where $n(j,J)\in\mathbb{Z}$. Since $u\neq 1$, $n(j,J)\neq 0$ for some pair $(j,J)$. Since $|J|=s+1<n$, the complement $CJ$ of the set $J$ is a non-empty set. Let $f$ be the composition of the obvious algebra homomorphisms:

$$\mathbb{S}_n \to \mathbb{S}_n/\sum_{i\in CJ}\mathfrak{p}_i \simeq \mathbb{S}_J\otimes L_{CJ} \to \mathbb{S}_J\otimes Q_{CJ}$$

where $Q_{CJ}$ is the field of fractions of the Laurent polynomial algebra $L_{CJ}$. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_J \otimes Q_{CJ}$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{s+1}$ but over the field $Q_{CJ}$. Let $\Theta'_{s+1,s,J}$ and $\Gamma_{s+1,s,J}$ be the corresponding $\Theta'_{s+1,s}$ and $\Gamma_{s+1,s}$ for the algebra $\mathbb{S}_J \otimes Q_{CJ} \simeq \mathbb{S}_{s+1} \otimes Q_{CJ}$ (over the field $Q_{CJ}$). Since $f(\Gamma_{n,s}) \subseteq \Gamma_{s+1,s,J}$, $f(\Theta'_{n,s}) \subseteq \Theta'_{s+1,s,J} \cdot U = \prod_{k\in J\backslash \max(J)} \theta_{\max(J),k}(J)^{n(k,J)} \cdot U$ where $U := \prod_{l\in J} U_{J\backslash l}(Q_{CJ}) \subseteq \Gamma_{s+1,s,J}$, using the induction on $n$, the inclusion $f(u) \in f(\Theta'_{n,s}) \cap f(\Gamma_{n,s})$ yields $n(j,J) = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\Theta'_{n,s} \cap \Upsilon_{n,s} = \{1\}$ and the statements of the theorem hold. $\Box$

By (38) and Theorem 4.4,

$$(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*/\Upsilon_{n,s} = (1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*/\Gamma_{n,s} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{s+1}s}. \tag{39}$$

The next theorem gives explicit generators for the groups $\mathbb{S}_n^*$, $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$, and $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$.

**Theorem 4.5** *1. The group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ is generated by the following elements:*

- *(a) $\theta_{\max(J),j}(J)$ where $j \in J\backslash \max(J)$ and $|J| = 2, \ldots, n$;*
- *(b) $1 + x_i^t E_{0\alpha}(I)$, $1 + x_i^t E_{\alpha 0}(I)$, $1 + y_i^t E_{0\alpha}(I)$, and $1 + y_i^t E_{\alpha 0}(I)$ where $t \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $i \notin I$, $|I| = 1, \ldots, n-1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I\backslash\{0\}$; and*
- *(c) $1 + (\lambda - 1)E_{00}(I)$, $1 + E_{0\alpha}(I)$, and $1 + E_{\alpha 0}(I)$ where $\lambda \in K^*$, $I \neq \emptyset$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I\backslash\{0\}$.*

*2. For $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$, the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$ is generated by the following elements:*

- *(a) $\theta_{\max(J),j}(J)$ where $j \in J\backslash \max(J)$ and $|J| = s+1, \ldots, n$;*
- *(b) $1 + x_i^t E_{0\alpha}(I)$, $1 + x_i^t E_{\alpha 0}(I)$, $1 + y_i^t E_{0\alpha}(I)$, and $1 + y_i^t E_{\alpha 0}(I)$ where $t \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $i \notin I$, $|I| = s, \ldots, n-1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I\backslash\{0\}$; and*
- *(c) $1 + (\lambda - 1)E_{00}(I)$, $1 + E_{0\alpha}(I)$, and $1 + E_{\alpha 0}(I)$ where $\lambda \in K^*$, $|I| = s, \ldots, n$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I\backslash\{0\}$.*

*For $s = n$, the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,n})^* = (1+F_n)^*$ is generated by the elements $1 + (\lambda-1)E_{00}(I)$, $1 + E_{0\alpha}(I)$, and $1 + E_{\alpha 0}(I)$ where $\lambda \in K^*$, $I = \{1, , \ldots, n\}$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\backslash\{0\}$.*

*3. The group $\mathbb{S}_n^* = K^* \times (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ is generated by the elements from statement 1 and $K^*$.*

*Proof.* 1. Statement 1 is a particular case of statement 2 when $s = 1$.

2. The statement is obvious for $s = n$. So, let $s = 1, \ldots, n-1$. By Theorem 4.2.(1), the group $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,s})^*$ is generated by the sets $\Theta'_{n,t}$, $\mathbb{E}_{n,t}$ where $t = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Each element of any of the sets $\Theta'_{n,t}$ is a product of elements from (a). Recall that $\mathbb{E}_{n,t} := \prod_{|I|=t} U_I(K) \ltimes E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_{CI})$. Each element of any of the groups $U_I(K)$ is a product of elements from (c). For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1(i)$ is the direct sum $\bigoplus_{j\geq 1} Ky_i^j \bigoplus K \bigoplus \bigoplus_{j\geq 1} Kx_i^j \bigoplus F(i)$, see (7). By a straightforward computation,

$$[1 + aE_{\alpha\beta}(I), 1 + bE_{\xi\rho}(I)] = \delta_{\beta\xi}(1 + abE_{\alpha\beta}(I))$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_{CI}$ and $\alpha, \beta, \xi, \rho \in \mathbb{N}^I$ where $[u,v] := uvu^{-1}v^{-1}$ is the (group) commutator of elements $u$ and $v$. In this paper the commutator stands for the group commutator (unless it is stated otherwise). For all $\lambda \in K^*$, $I$ with $|I| = s, \ldots, n$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I\backslash\{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 + (\lambda-1)E_{00}(I)) \cdot (1 + E_{0\alpha}(I)) \cdot (1 + (\lambda-1)E_{00}(I))^{-1} &= 1 + \lambda E_{0\alpha}(I), \\
(1 + (\lambda-1)E_{00}(I))^{-1} \cdot (1 + E_{\alpha 0}(I)) \cdot (1 + (\lambda-1)E_{00}(I)) &= 1 + \lambda E_{\alpha 0}(I).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from these three facts that each element of any of the sets $E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_{CI})$ is a product of elements from sets (b) and (c). The proof of statement 1 is complete.

3. Statement 3 is obvious. $\Box$

The next theorem presents explicit generators for the group $G_n$.

**Theorem 4.6** *Let $J_s := \{1, \ldots, s\}$ where $s = 1, \ldots, n$. The group $G_n = S_n \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ is generated by the transpositions $(ij)$ where $i < j$; the elements $t_{(\lambda,1,\ldots,1)} : x_1 \mapsto \lambda x_1$, $y_1 \mapsto \lambda^{-1} y_1$, $x_k \mapsto x_k$, $y_k \mapsto y_k$, $k = 2, \ldots, n$; and the inner automorphisms $\omega_u$ where $u$ belongs to the following sets:*

*1.* $\theta_{s,1}(J_s)$, *$s=2,\dots,n$;*

*2.* $1+x_n^tE_{0\alpha}(J_s)$, $1+x_n^tE_{\alpha 0}(J_s)$, $1+y_n^tE_{0\alpha}(J_s)$, *and* $1+y_n^tE_{\alpha 0}(J_s)$ *where* $t\in\mathbb{N}\backslash\{0\}$, $s=1,\dots,n-1$, *and* $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^s\backslash\{0\}$*; and*

*3.* $1+(\lambda-1)E_{00}(J_s)$, $1+E_{0\alpha}(J_s)$, *and* $1+E_{\alpha 0}(J_s)$ *where* $\lambda\in K^*$, $s=1,\dots,n$, *and* $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^s\backslash\{0\}$.

*Proof.* The group $G_n=S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n\ltimes\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ (Theorem 1.2.(3)) is generated by its three subgroups: $S_n$, $\mathbb{T}^n$, and $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)=\{\omega_v\,|\,v\in(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*\}$. The transpositions generate the symmetric group $S_n$. Then, by conjugating,

$$(1i)t_{(\lambda,1,\dots,1)}(1i)^{-1}=t_{(1,\dots,1,\lambda,1,\dots,1)}\ \ (\lambda \text{ is on } i'\text{th place})$$

we obtain generators for the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$. Similarly, by conjugating the elements of the sets 1, 2, and 3 (i.e. using $s\omega_vs^{-1}=\omega_{s(v)}$ for all $s\in S_n$) we obtain all the elements from the sets (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem 4.5 when we identify the groups $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ and $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$ via $\omega_v\leftrightarrow v$. Now, the theorem is obvious. $\Box$

## 5 The commutants of the groups $G_n$ and $\mathbb{S}_n$, and an analogue of the Jacobian homomorphism

In this section, the groups $[G_n,G_n]$ and $G_n/[G_n,G_n]$ are found (Theorem 5.4) and they are used to show the uniqueness of an analogue $\mathbb{J}_n$ (see (2)) of the Jacobian homomorphism for $n>2$, and in finding the exotic Jacobians $\mathbb{J}_n^{ex}$ for $n=1,2$.

**The groups $[G_n,G_n]$ and $G_n/[G_n,G_n]$.** The subgroup of a group $G$ generated by all the commutators $[a,b]:=aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ where $a,b\in G$ is called the *commutant* of the group $G$ denoted either by $[G,G]$ or $G^{(1)}$. The commutant is the least normal subgroup $G'$ of $G$ such that the factor group $G/G'$ is abelian. If $\varphi:G\to H$ is a group homomorphism then $\varphi([G,G])\subseteq[H,H]$. If, in addition, the group $H$ is abelian then $[G,G]\subseteq\ker(\varphi)$. To find the commutant of a group is a technical process especially if the group is large. In the next two easy lemmas we collect patterns that appear in findings the commutant of the group $G_n$. Their repeated applications make arguments short.

**Lemma 5.1** *1. The commutant* $[A\ltimes B,A\ltimes B]$ *of a skew product* $A\ltimes B$ *of two groups is equal to* $[A,A]\ltimes([A,B]\cdot[B,B])$ *where* $[A,B]$ *is the subgroup of* $B$ *generated by all the commutators* $[a,b]:=aba^{-1}b$ *for* $a\in A$ *and* $b\in B$*. Hence,* $B\cap[A\ltimes B,A\ltimes B]=[A,B]\cdot[B,B]$ *and* $\frac{A\ltimes B}{[A\ltimes B,A\ltimes B]}\simeq\frac{A}{[A,A]}\times\frac{B}{[A,B]\cdot[B,B]}$.

*2. If, in addition, the group* $B$ *is a direct product of groups* $\prod_{i=1}^mB_i$ *such that* $aB_ia^{-1}\subseteq B_i$ *for all elements* $a\in A$ *and* $i=1,\dots,m$*. Then* $[A\ltimes B,A\ltimes B]=[A,A]\ltimes\prod_{i=1}^m([A,B_i][B_i,B_i])$.

*Proof.* 1. Note that $[a,b]=\omega_a(b)b^{-1}$ where $\omega_a(b):=aba^{-1}$. For $a\in A$ and $b,c\in B$,

$$\begin{aligned}c[a,b]&=c\omega_a(b)b^{-1}=\omega_a(\omega_{a^{-1}}(c)b)(\omega_{a^{-1}}(c)b)^{-1}\omega_{a^{-1}}(c)bb^{-1}\\&=\omega_a(\omega_{a^{-1}}(c)b)(\omega_{a^{-1}}(c)b)^{-1}\cdot\omega_{a^{-1}}(c)\\&=[a,\omega_{a^{-1}}(c)b]\cdot\omega_{a^{-1}}(c).\end{aligned}$$

It follows from these equalities (when, in addition, we chose $c\in[B,B]$) that the subgroup of $B$ which is generated by its two subgroups, $[A,B]$ and $[B,B]$, is equal their set theoretic product $[A,B][B,B]:=\{ef\,|\,e\in[A,B],f\in[B,B]\}$. Then the subgroup of $C:=[A\ltimes B,A\ltimes B]$ which is generated by its three subgroups $[A,A]$, $[A,B]$, and $[B,B]$ is equal to the RHS, say $R$, of the equality of the lemma. It remains to prove that $C\subseteq R$. This inclusion follows from the fact that, for all $a_1,a_2\in A$ and $b_1,b_2\in B$,

$$[a_1b_1,a_2b_2]=\omega_{a_1}([b_1,a_2])\omega_{a_1a_2}([b_1,b_2])[a_1,a_2]\omega_{a_2}([a_1,b_2])\tag{40}$$

which follows from the equalities $[ab,c]=\omega_a([b,c])[a,c]$ and $[a,b]^{-1}=[b,a]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
[a_1b_1,a_2b_2] &= \omega_{a_1}([b_1,a_2b_2])[a_1,a_2b_2]=([a_2b_2,a_1]\omega_{a_1}([a_2b_2,b_1]))^{-1}\\
&= (\omega_{a_2}(b_2,a_1])[a_2,a_1]\omega_{a_1}(\omega_{a_2}([b_2,b_1])[a_2,b_1])^{-1}\\
&= \omega_{a_1}([b_1,a_2])\omega_{a_1a_2}([b_1,b_2])[a_1,a_2]\omega_{a_2}([a_1,b_2]).
\end{aligned}$$

2. By statement 1, it suffices to show that $[A,\prod_{i=1}^m B_i]=\prod_{i=1}^m[A,B_i]$. The general case follows easily from the case when $m=2$ (by induction). The case $m=2$ follows from (40) where we put $b_1=1$, $a_1\in A$, $a_2\in B_1$, and $b_2\in B_2$. $\square$

**Lemma 5.2** *1. Let $\varphi:G\to H$ be a group epimorphism such that* $\ker(\varphi)\subseteq[G,G]$. *Then* $[G,G]=\varphi^{-1}([H,H])$.

*2. Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of a group such that $N\subseteq[G,G]$ and the factor group $G/N$ is abelian. Then then $N=[G,G]$.*

*Proof.* 1. Since $\varphi$ is an epimorphism with $\ker(\varphi)\subseteq[G,G]$, the inclusion $\varphi^{-1}([H,H])\subseteq[G,G]$ is obvious. Then the composition of the group homomorphisms $G\xrightarrow{\varphi}H\to H/[H,H]$ and the fact that the group $H/[H,H]$ is abelian yield the opposite inclusion $\varphi^{-1}([H,H])\supseteq[G,G]$.

2. Applying statement 1 to the group epimorphism $\varphi:G\to G/N$ we get statement 2: $[G,G]=\varphi^{-1}([G/N,G/N])=\varphi^{-1}(e)=\ker(\varphi)$. $\square$

For all transpositions $(ij)\in S_n$ and elements $t_{(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)}\in\mathbb{T}^n$,

$$[(ij),t_{(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)}]=t_{(1,\dots,1,\lambda_i^{-1}\lambda_j,1,\dots,1,\lambda_j^{-1}\lambda_i,1,\dots,1)} \tag{41}$$

where the elements $\lambda_i^{-1}\lambda_j$ and $\lambda_j^{-1}\lambda_i$ are on $i$th and $j$th place respectively.

**Lemma 5.3** *For each natural number $n\geq 2$, $[S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n,S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n]=[S_n,S_n]\ltimes\mathbb{T}_1^n$ where $\mathbb{T}_1^n:=\{t_{(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)}\in\mathbb{T}^n\mid\prod_{i=1}^n\lambda_i=1\}$.*

*Proof.* Let $R$ and $L$ be the RHS and the LHS of the equality. By Theorem 5.1.(1), (40), and (41), $R\supseteq L$. To prove the reverse inclusion consider two group epimorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi:S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n &\to K^*,\ \ (\sigma,t_{(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)})\mapsto\prod_{i=1}^n\lambda_i,\\
\psi:S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n &\to S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n/\mathbb{T}^n\simeq S_n,\ \ (\sigma,t_\lambda)\mapsto\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $R\subseteq\ker(\varphi)=S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}_1^n$ and $R\subseteq\psi^{-1}([S_n,S_n])=[S_n,S_n]\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n$, hence $R\subseteq(S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}_1^n)\cap([S_n,S_n]\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n)=L$, as required. $\square$

Let $J$ be a subset of the set $\{1,\dots,n\}$ that contains at least two elements, let $i$ and $j$ be two distinct elements of the set $J$, and let $\lambda\in K^*$. By multiplying out, we see that

$$\mu_{J\backslash i}(y_i)e_{J\backslash j}=e_{J\backslash j}\mu_{J\backslash i}(x_i)=e_{J\backslash j}-e_J, \tag{42}$$

$$\mu_{J\backslash i}(y_i)e_J=e_J\mu_{J\backslash i}(x_i)=0, \tag{43}$$

$$\mu_{J\backslash j}(x_jy_j)=1-e_J, \tag{44}$$

$$e_J\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda)=\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda)e_J=\lambda e_J. \tag{45}$$

Note that (where $\lambda\in K^*$)

$$[\theta_{ij}(J),\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda)]=\mu_J(\lambda^{-1}) \tag{46}$$

since (by direct computations, consider the four cases as in (19))

$$[\theta_{ij}(J),\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda)]*x^\alpha=\begin{cases}\lambda^{-1}x^\alpha & \text{if }\forall k\in J:\alpha_k=0,\\ x^\alpha & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Alternatively, using the equalities (42), (43), (44), and (45), we can show directly that (46) holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\theta_{ij}(J), \mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda)] &= \theta_{ij}(J)\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda)\theta_{ji}(J)\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda^{-1}) \\
&= \mu_{J\backslash i}(y_i)\cdot\mu_{J\backslash j}(x_j)\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda)\mu_{J\backslash j}(y_j)\cdot\mu_{J\backslash i}(x_i)\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda^{-1}) \\
&= \mu_{J\backslash i}(y_i)\cdot\mu_{J\backslash j}(x_jy_j)\cdot\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda)\cdot\mu_{J\backslash i}(x_i)\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda^{-1}) \\
&= \mu_{J\backslash i}(y_i)\cdot(1-e_J)\cdot\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda)\cdot\mu_{J\backslash i}(x_i)\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda^{-1}) \qquad (\text{by } (44)) \\
&= (1+(\lambda-1)\mu_{J\backslash i}(y_i)e_{J\backslash j}\mu_{J\backslash i}(x_i))\cdot\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda^{-1}) \qquad (\text{by } (43)) \\
&= (1+(\lambda-1)(e_{J\backslash j}-e_J)\mu_{J\backslash i}(x_i))\cdot\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda^{-1}) \qquad (\text{by } (42)) \\
&= (1+(\lambda-1)(e_{J\backslash j}-e_J))\cdot\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda^{-1}) \qquad (\text{by } (42),(43)) \\
&= (\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda)+(1-\lambda)e_J)\cdot\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda^{-1}) = 1+(1-\lambda)\lambda^{-1}e_J \qquad (\text{by } (45)) \\
&= 1+(\lambda^{-1}-1)e_J = \mu_J(\lambda^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

By taking the inverse of both sides of (46) and using the fact that $[a,b]^{-1}=[b,a]$, we have the equality

$$[\mu_{J\backslash j}(\lambda), \theta_{ij}(J)] = \mu_J(\lambda). \tag{47}$$

Let $J$ be a subset of the set $\{1,\ldots,n\}$. If $i$ and $j$ are distinct elements of the set $J$ (hence $|J|\geq 2$) then, for all elements $s\in S_n$,

$$s\omega_{\theta_{ij}(J)}s^{-1} = \omega_{\theta_{s(i)s(j)}(s(J))}, \tag{48}$$

$$[(ij), \omega_{\theta_{ij}(J)}] = \omega_{\theta_{ij}(J)^{-2}}. \tag{49}$$

The equality (48) is obvious, the equality (49) follows from (48) and (20):

$$[(ij), \omega_{\theta_{ij}(J)}] = (ij)\omega_{\theta_{ij}(J)}(ij)^{-1}\omega^{-1}_{\theta_{ij}(J)} = \omega_{\theta_{ji}(J)}\omega_{\theta_{ij}(J)^{-1}} = \omega_{\theta_{ij}(J)^{-1}}\omega_{\theta_{ij}(J)^{-1}} = \omega_{\theta_{ij}(J)^{-2}}.$$

If $i$, $j$, and $k$ are distinct elements of the set $J$ (hence $|J|\geq 3$) then

$$[(ik), \omega_{\theta_{ij}(J)}] = \omega_{\theta_{ki}(J)}. \tag{50}$$

In more detail,

$$\begin{aligned}
[(ik), \omega_{\theta_{ij}(J)}] &= (ik)\omega_{\theta_{ij}(J)}(ik)^{-1}\omega^{-1}_{\theta_{ij}(J)} = \omega_{\theta_{kj}(J)}\omega_{\theta_{ji}(J)} \quad (\text{by } (48),(20)) \\
&= \omega_{\theta_{ki}(J)} \qquad (\text{by } (21)).
\end{aligned}$$

By (50), if $n>2$ then the current group $\Theta_n$ belongs to the commutant $[G_n, G_n]$, but for $n=2$ this is not true (Theorem 5.4.(1)), and this is the reason for existence of the exotic 'Jacobian' homomorphism $\mathbb{J}_2^{ex}$.

Let $\theta_{ij} := \theta_{ij}(\{i,j\})$ and $\mu_j(\lambda) := \mu_{\{j\}}(\lambda)$ where $\lambda\in K^*$. Then

$$[t_{(1,\ldots,1,\lambda_i,1,\ldots,1)}, \omega_{\theta_{ij}}] = \omega_{\mu_j(\lambda_i^{-1})}, \tag{51}$$

where the scalar $\lambda_i\in K^*$ is on the $i$th place. In more detail, $[t_{(1,\ldots,1,\lambda_i,1,\ldots,1)}, \omega_{\theta_{ij}}] = \omega_{\mu_j(\lambda_i^{-1}y_i)\mu_i(x_j)}\cdot \omega_{\theta_{ij}^{-1}} = \omega_{\mu_j(\lambda_i^{-1})\theta_{ij}\theta_{ij}^{-1}} = \omega_{\mu_j(\lambda_i^{-1})}$.

**Theorem 5.4** *Let* $\theta := \theta_{12}(\{1,2\})$ *and* $\mathcal{N}_2 := \{\omega_u \,|\, u\in\langle\theta^2\rangle\cdot\prod_{|I|=1}U_I(K)\ltimes E_I(\mathbb{S}_{CI})\cdot(1+\mathfrak{a}_{2,2})^*\}\subseteq G_2$. *Then*

1. $[G_n, G_n] = \begin{cases} \{\omega_u \,|\, u\in E_\infty(K)\} & \textit{if } n=1, \\ \mathbb{T}_1^1\ltimes\mathcal{N}_2 & \textit{if } n=2, \\ [S_n,S_n]\ltimes\mathbb{T}_1^n\ltimes\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n) & \textit{if } n>2. \end{cases}$

*2.* $G_n/[G_n,G_n] \simeq \begin{cases} K^* \times K^* & \text{if } n=1, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times K^* \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n=2, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times K^* & \text{if } n>2. \end{cases}$

*Proof.* Recall that $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^* \simeq \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$, $u \leftrightarrow \omega_u$ (Theorem 1.2.(3)). To save on notation we identify these two groups. Then $G_n = S_n \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n \ltimes \mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n) = S_n \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n \ltimes (1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$.

The case $n=1$. By Theorem 4.1, [5],

$$G_1 \simeq \mathbb{T}^1 \ltimes (1+F)^* \simeq \mathbb{T}^1 \ltimes (U(K) \ltimes E_\infty(K)) = (\mathbb{T}^1 \times U(K)) \ltimes E_\infty(K).$$

Since $[E_\infty(K), E_\infty(K)] = E_\infty(K)$ (hence $E_\infty(K) \subseteq [G_1,G_1]$) and the factor group $G_1/E_\infty(K) \simeq \mathbb{T}^1 \times U(K)$ is abelian, by Lemma 5.2.(2), $[G_1,G_1] = \{\omega_u \,|\, u \in E_\infty(K)\}$. Hence $G_1/[G_1,G_1] \simeq \mathbb{T}^1 \times U(K) \simeq K^* \times K^*$.

Let $n \geq 2$. Note that $E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_{CI}) = [E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_{CI}), E_\infty(\mathbb{S}_{CI})] \subseteq [G_n,G_n]$ for all nonempty subsets $I$ of the set $\{1,\dots,n\}$. It follows from (47), (50), and Theorem 4.2.(1) that

$$(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,2})^* \subseteq [G_n,G_n].$$

The case $n=2$. By (49), $\theta^2 \in [G_2,G_2]$. By (51), $\prod_{|I|=1} U_I(K) \ltimes E_I(\mathbb{S}_{CI}) \subseteq [G_2,G_2]$. By Theorem 4.2.(1) and (13),

$$\overline{G}_2 := G_2/(1+\mathfrak{a}_{2,2})^* \simeq S_2 \ltimes \mathbb{T}^2 \ltimes \langle\theta\rangle \ltimes \prod_{|I|=1} U_I(K) \ltimes E_I(L_{CI}).$$

Note that $[(12),\omega_\theta] = \omega_{\theta^{-2}}$ (by (49)), and, for all elements $t_\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^2$,

$$[t_\lambda, \omega_\theta] \equiv \omega_{\mu_2(\lambda_1^{-1})\mu_1(\lambda_2)} \mod (1+\mathfrak{a}_{2,2})^*.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} [t_\lambda, \omega_\theta] &\equiv \omega_{\mu_2(\lambda_1^{-1})\theta\mu_1(\lambda_2)}\omega_{\theta^{-1}} \equiv \omega_{\mu_2(\lambda_1^{-1})\theta\mu_1(\lambda_2)\theta^{-1}} \equiv \omega_{\mu_2(\lambda_1^{-1})\mu_1(\lambda_2)\theta\theta^{-1}} \\ &\equiv \omega_{\mu_2(\lambda_1^{-1})\mu_1(\lambda_2)} \mod (1+\mathfrak{a}_{2,2})^*. \end{aligned}$$

It follow that the group $N := \langle\theta^2\rangle \ltimes \prod_{|I|=1} U_I(K) \ltimes E_I(L_{CI})$ is a normal subgroup of $\overline{G}_2$, $N \subseteq [\overline{G}_2, \overline{G}_2]$, and $\overline{G}_2/N \simeq (S_2 \ltimes \mathbb{T}^2) \times \frac{\langle\theta\rangle}{\langle\theta^2\rangle}$. By Lemma 5.3, $[\overline{G}_2/N, \overline{G}_2/N] = [S_2 \ltimes \mathbb{T}^2, S_2 \ltimes \mathbb{T}^2] = \mathbb{T}^2_1$. Then, by Lemma 5.2.(1), statement 1 follows. Then, by Lemma 5.2.(1),

$$\frac{G_2}{[G_2,G_2]} \simeq \frac{\overline{G}_2/N}{[\overline{G}_2/N, \overline{G}_2/N]} \simeq S_2 \times \frac{\mathbb{T}^2}{\mathbb{T}^2_1} \times \frac{\langle\theta\rangle}{\langle\theta^2\rangle} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times K^* \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

The case $n>2$. By Theorem 4.2.(1), $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,1})^* = \Theta'_{n,1}\mathbb{E}_{n,1}\cdots\Theta'_{n,n-1}\mathbb{E}_{n,n-1}$. By (50), $\Theta'_{n,s} \subseteq [G_n,G_n]$ for all $s=1,\dots,n-1$. By (47), $\mathbb{E}_{n,s} \subseteq [G_n,G_n]$ for all $s=2,\dots,n-1$, and, by (51), $\mathbb{E}_{n,1} \subseteq [G_n,G_n]$. Therefore, $(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,1})^* \subseteq [G_n,G_n]$. Then the factor group $\overline{G}_n := G_n/(1+\mathfrak{a}_{n,1})^*$ is isomorphic to the group $S_n \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n$. By Lemma 5.3, $[\overline{G}_n, \overline{G}_n] = [S_n,S_n] \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n_1$, and statement 1 follows, by Lemma 5.2.(1). By Lemma 5.2.(1),

$$\frac{G_n}{[G_n,G_n]} \simeq \frac{\overline{G}_n}{[\overline{G}_n,\overline{G}_n]} \simeq \frac{S_n}{[S_n,S_n]} \times \frac{\mathbb{T}^n}{\mathbb{T}^n_1} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times K^*.$$

The proof of the theorem is complete. □

Recall that $\mathrm{aff}_n := S_n \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n$.

**Corollary 5.5** *1.* $\frac{\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)}{\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)\cap[G_n,G_n]} \simeq \begin{cases} K^* & \text{if } n=1, \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } n=2, \\ 0 & \text{if } n>2. \end{cases}$

*2.* $\frac{\mathrm{aff}_n}{[\mathrm{aff}_n,\mathrm{aff}_n]} \simeq \begin{cases} K^* & \text{if } n=1,\\ \mathbb{Z}_2\times K^* & \text{if } n>1.\end{cases}$

*3.* $\frac{G_n}{[G_n,G_n]} \simeq \frac{\mathrm{aff}_n}{[\mathrm{aff}_n,\mathrm{aff}_n]} \times \frac{\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)}{\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)\cap[G_n,G_n]} \simeq \frac{\mathrm{aff}_n}{[\mathrm{aff}_n,\mathrm{aff}_n]} \times \begin{cases} K^* & \text{if } n=1,\\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } n=2,\\ 0 & \text{if } n>2.\end{cases}$

*Proof.* 1. We keep the notation of the proof of Theorem 5.4 (in particular, we identify the groups $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ and $(1+\mathfrak{a}_n)^*$). For $n=1$, $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_1)=U(K)\ltimes E_\infty(K)$ and $[G_1,G_1]=E_\infty(K)$ (Theorem 5.4.(1)) and statement follows.

For $n=2$, by Theorem 5.4.(1), $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)/\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)\cap[G_n,G_n]\simeq\langle\theta\rangle/\langle\theta^2\rangle\simeq\mathbb{Z}_2$.

For $n=3$, by Theorem 5.4.(1), $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)\subseteq[G_n,G_n]$.

2. For $n=1$, $\mathrm{aff}_1=\mathbb{T}^1$ and statement 2 is obvious. For $n>1$, statement 2 follows from Lemma 5.3: $\frac{\mathrm{aff}_n}{[\mathrm{aff}_n,\mathrm{aff}_n]}\simeq\frac{S_n}{[S_n,S_n]}\times\frac{\mathbb{T}^n}{\mathbb{T}^n_1}\simeq\mathbb{Z}_2\times K^*$.

3. Since $G_n=\mathrm{aff}_n\ltimes\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$, the first equality follows from Lemma 5.1.(1), and then the second equality follows from statement 1. $\square$

**An analogue of the polynomial Jacobian homomorphism**. We keep the notation of the Introduction. We want to find an analogue of the polynomial Jacobian homomorphism (1) for the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is noncommutative, non-Noetherian, with trivial centre, i.e. $Z(\mathbb{S}_n)=K$ Proposition 4.1, [4], and there are no obvious 'partial' derivatives for the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. So, in order to find the analogue we, first, define the Jacobian homomorphism in invariant group-theoretic terms, i.e. we select natural properties/ conditions that uniquely determine $\mathcal{J}$. Then, for the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$, the conditions obtained determine uniquely an analogue of the Jacobian homomorphism but for $n=1,2$ where there are exactly two of them.

The group $\mathcal{P}_n=\mathrm{Aff}_n\times_{ex}\Sigma_n$ is an exact product of its two subgroups where $\mathrm{Aff}_n:=\{\sigma_{A,a}: x\mapsto Ax+a\,|\,A\in\mathrm{GL}_n(K), a\in K^n\}$ is the *affine group* and

$$\Sigma_n:=\{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_n\,|\,\sigma(x_i)\equiv x_i \mod (x_1,\ldots,x_n)^2, i=1,\ldots,n\}$$

is the *Jacobian* group where $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ is the maximal ideal of the polynomial algebra $P_n$. Recall that an exact product means that each element $\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_n$ is a unique product $\sigma=\sigma_{A,a}\cdot\xi$ where $\sigma_{A,a}\in\mathrm{Aff}_n$ and $\xi\in\Sigma_n$. The Jacobian homomorphism $\mathcal{J}_n$ is determined by its restriction to the affine subgroup since $\mathcal{J}_n(\xi)=1$ for all $\xi\in\Sigma_n$ (trivial); and

$$\mathcal{J}_n(\sigma)=\mathcal{J}_n(\sigma_{A,a})=\det(A). \tag{52}$$

The affine group $\mathrm{Aff}_n$ is the semidirect product $U_n\ltimes\mathrm{SAff}_n$ where $U_n:=\{\tau_\lambda: x_1\mapsto\lambda x_1, x_i\mapsto x_i, i>1\,|\,\lambda\in K^*\}$ and $\mathrm{SAff}_n:=\{\sigma_{A,a}\,|\,A\in\mathrm{SL}_n(K), a\in K^n\}$, and $\mathrm{SAff}_n=[\mathrm{Aff}_n,\mathrm{Aff}_n]$ is the commutant of the group $\mathrm{Aff}_n$. Then the commutant $[\mathcal{P}_n,\mathcal{P}_n]$ of the group $\mathcal{P}_n$ is $\mathrm{SAff}_n\times_{ex}\Sigma_n$ (this is trivial, since $[U_n,\Sigma_n]=\Sigma_n$), and $\mathcal{P}_n=U_n\ltimes[\mathcal{P}_n,\mathcal{P}_n]=(U_n\ltimes[\mathrm{Aff}_n,\mathrm{Aff}_n])\times_{ex}\Sigma_n$. The Jacobian homomorphism is uniquely determined by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{P}_n \arrow[r, "\mathcal{J}"] \arrow[d] & K^* \\
\frac{\mathcal{P}_n}{[\mathcal{P}_n,\mathcal{P}_n]} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & U_n \arrow[u, "\det"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The group $G_n=S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n\ltimes\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ has a similar structure as the group $\mathcal{P}_n$. The subgroup $\mathrm{aff}_n:=S_n\ltimes\mathbb{T}^n$ is an affine part of the group $G_n$ and the subgroup $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ plays a role of the Jacobian subgroup $\Sigma_n$ since

- (Corollary 5.5, [5]) $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{S}_n)=\{\sigma\in G_n\,|\,\sigma(x_i)\equiv x_i \mod \mathfrak{p}_i,\ \sigma(y_i)\equiv y_i \mod \mathfrak{p}_i,\ \forall i\}$.

*Definition.* An analogue $\mathbb{J}_n$ of the polynomial Jacobian homomorphism $\mathcal{J}_n$ is a group homomorphism $\mathbb{J}_n : G_n \to K^*$ which acts on the affine subgroup $\mathrm{aff}_n$ as in the polynomial case.

There is at least one such a map which is given in (2) and (3).

**Theorem 5.6** *1. For $n > 2$, the analogue $\mathbb{J}_n$ of the polynomial Jacobian homomorphism $\mathcal{J}_n$ is unique and given in (2) and (3).*

*2. For $n = 1, 2$, there is another one $\mathbb{J}_n^{ex}$, the so-called exotic Jacobian homomorphism, given by the rule*

- *(a) For $n = 1$, $\sigma = t_\lambda \cdot \omega_u \in G_1 = \mathbb{T}^1 \ltimes \{\omega_v \,|\, v \in (1+F)^*\}$ where $t_\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and $u \in (1+F)^* \simeq \mathrm{GL}_\infty(K)$, $\mathbb{J}_1^{ex}(\sigma) = \lambda \cdot \det(u)$. The homomorphisms $\mathbb{J}_1$ and $\mathbb{J}_1^{ex}$ are algebraically independent characters of the group $G_1$.*
- *(b) For $n = 2$, $\sigma = st_\lambda\omega_{\theta^i}\xi \in G_2$ where $s \in S_2$, $t_\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^2$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$, and $\xi \in \mathcal{N}_2$, $\mathbb{J}_2^{ex}(\sigma) = (-1)^i \mathrm{sign}(s)\lambda_1\lambda_2$. Note that $(\mathbb{J}_2^{ex})^2 = \mathbb{J}_2^2$.*

*Proof.* 1. Statement 1 follows from the fact that $\frac{G_n}{[G_n, G_n]} \simeq \frac{\mathrm{aff}_n}{[\mathrm{aff}_n, \mathrm{aff}_n]}$ (Corollary 5.5.(3)).
2. Statement 2 follows from Corollary 5.5.(3) □

# References

[1] H. Bass, Algebraic $K$-theory. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968, 762 pp.

[2] V. V. Bavula, The Jacobian algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **213** (2009), 664-685; Arxiv:math.RA/0704.3850.

[3] V. V. Bavula, The group of automorphisms of the first Weyl algebra in prime characteristic and the restriction map, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **5** (2009), 263-274; Arxiv:math.RA/0708.1620.

[4] V. V. Bavula, The algebra of one-sided inverses of a polynomial algebra, ArXiv:math.AG/0903.0641.

[5] V. V. Bavula, The group of automorphisms of the algebra of one-sided inverses of a polynomial algebra, ArXiv:math.AG/0903.3049.

[6] V. V. Bavula, The group of automorphisms of the Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$.

[7] V. V. Bavula, $\mathrm{K}_1(\mathbb{S}_1)$ and the group of automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_2$ of one-sided inverses of a polynomial algebra in two variables, arXiv:0906.0600.

[8] J. Dixmier, Sur les algèbres de Weyl, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **96** (1968), 209–242.

[9] N. Jacobson, “Structure of rings,” Am. Math. Soc. Colloq., Vol. XXXVII (rev. ed.), Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1968.

[10] L. Makar-Limanov, On automorphisms of Weyl algebra, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **112** (1984), 359–363.

[11] J. Milnor, Introduction to algebraic $K$-theory. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 72. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1971, 184 pp.

[12] H. W. E. Jung, Uber ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **184** (1942), 161-174.

[13] W. Van der Kulk, On polynomial rings in two variables, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* (3) **1** (1953), 33-41.

[14] R. G. Swan, Algebraic $K$-theory. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 76 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1968, 262 pp.

Department of Pure Mathematics
University of Sheffield
Hicks Building
Sheffield S3 7RH
UK
email: v.bavula@sheffield.ac.uk